An Update of the Literature Supporting the Well-Being Benefits of Plants: A Review of the Emotional and Mental Health Benefits of Plants¹

Charles Hall and Melinda Knuth²

— Abstract ——

Consumers have historically shown an inclination to purchase plants that enhance their quality of life, meaning they will purchase items that positively influence their social, physical, psychological, cognitive, environmental, and spiritual well-being. Plants in native and improved landscapes (and interiorscapes) have been documented to influence each of six quality of life constructs. This paper summarizes publications regarding the emotional and mental health benefits associated with plants, addressing reduced anxiety and stress, attention deficit recovery, fractals and visual response, decreased depression, enhanced memory retention, greater happiness and life satisfaction, mitigation of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), increased creativity, enhanced productivity and attention, reduced effects of dementia, and improved self-esteem. This research should be strategically incorporated into both industry-wide and firm-specific marketing messages that highlight the quality of life value proposition in order to maintain the industry's sense of value and relevance to consumers of the future.

Index words: benefits of plants, emotional health, mental health.

Significance to the Horticulture Industry

This paper is the first of a four-part series that provides a review of the substantial body of peer-reviewed research that has been conducted regarding the economic, environmental, and health and well-being benefits of green industry products and services. This article focuses specifically on the health and well-being benefits. This research should be strategically incorporated into both industry-wide and firm-specific marketing messages that highlight these quality of life dimensions in order to enhance the perceived value and relevance of green industry products for gardening and landscaping consumers in the future.

Introduction

In 2011, Hall and Dickson published a forum article in the Journal of Environmental Horticulture (JEH) that summarized the economic, environmental, and health and well-being benefits associated with people-plant interactions. The proposition put forth in that article was that green industry firms needed to focus on these types of functional benefits in their marketing messages to consumers rather than simply base their value proposition on the features and benefits of the plants themselves (e.g. aesthetic aspects, disease resistance, cold/heat tolerance, salt tolerance, etc.). By doing so, the end consumer would see the inherent ways in which plants improve the quality of their lives and begin perceiving plants to be a necessity in their lives rather than a mere luxury they could cast aside during economic downturns, as they did during the "Great Recession" of 2008-2009.

Since 2011, there has been a plethora of additional research conducted regarding these functional plant benefits and these voluminous studies provide compelling evidence that warrants further attention. Thus, this new series of forum articles attempts to update the findings summarized in the original article by Hall and Dickson by presenting a summary of the research on plant benefits that has been conducted since 2011. By doing so, this new information provides the basis for future innovative green industry marketing efforts, which may, in turn, positively influence the elasticity of demand for plants in general.

The first topic in the four-part series, *Emotional and Mental Health Benefits of Plants*, is one that has been shown to resonate with consumers of all demographic segments (Hall and Dickson, 2011). These benefits are segmented and discussed using the following categories: anxiety and stress reduction, attention deficit recovery, fractals and visual responses, decreased depression, enhanced memory retention, greater happiness and life satisfaction, mitigation of PTSD, increased creativity, enhanced productivity and attention, reduced effects of dementia, and improved self-esteem.

Reduced anxiety and stress

Significant correlations have been found between the use of open spaces and reduced stress. Time spent in natural settings can help reduce mental fatigue recovery time and improve concentration levels (Entrix 2010, Keniger et al. 2013, Kjellgren and Buhrkall 2010, White et al. 2017, Wolf and Housley 2014). Increased access to green spaces also reduces psychological distress, depression symptoms, clinical anxiety, and mood disorders in adults (Astell-Burt et al. 2013, Beyer et al. 2014, Brown et al. 2013, de Vries et al. 2013, Fan et al. 2011, Nutsford et al. 2013, Stigsdotter 2015, Triguero-Mas et al. 2015, White et al. 2013).

The term "stress recovery theory" was coined by van den Berg and Custers (2011) and includes the benefits derived when individuals immerse in nature, including

¹Received for publication January 17, 2019; in revised form April 8, 2019.

²Professor and Graduate Student, respectively, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-2133. Corresponding author: Charles Hall c-hall@tamu.edu.

decreased anxiety, lower heart rates, skin conductance recovery, lower concentrates of cortisol, and positive changes in nerve activity (Alvarsson et al. 2010, Bowler et al. 2010, Park et al. 2010, Park et al. 2017, Russell et al. 2013). Controlling for socio-economic and demographic characteristics, positive relationships between green space and overall health and stress reduction have been reported (de Vries et al. 2003) and the effects are transcendent to viewing images of nature (Ryan et al. 2014). For patients in hospitals, exposure to real plants or even posters of plants, resulted in lower levels of experienced stress (Beukeboom et al. 2012). Exposure to natural scenes mediates the negative effects of stress; one can recover faster from the decrease of cognitive performance associated with stress, especially reflected in attention tasks. (Berto 2014).

Stress reduction and mental restoration occur when individuals live near green areas, have a view of vegetation, or spend time in natural settings (Abraham et al. 2010, Carrus et al. 2015, Watts 2017, Wolf and Housley 2014). The amount of green space in the neighborhood, and in particular access to a garden or allotment, were significant predictors of stress (Thompson et al. 2016). In fact, the amount of green space in residential areas is positively related to resident overall health (Groenewegen et al. 2012). White et al. (2013) also found that individuals have both lower mental distress and higher well-being when living in urban areas with more green space.

Women also seem to experience more stress than men do when away from nature. Roe et al. (2013b) found that there was a significant inverse relationship between green spaces and stress levels with higher levels of green space resulting in lower stress levels. Women were found to display higher stress levels than men when exposed to the same amount of (or less) green space. Coincidently, the percentage of green space effects showed a positive outcome on women by decreasing the mean cortisol concentration. Women who lived more than 1 km away from green spaces reported higher stress levels and perceived poorer health and quality of life than those who lived near of green spaces (Stigsdotter et al. 2010). Beil and Hanes 2013 also found there is greater benefit from exposure to natural settings as measured by pre-and-post changes in salivary alphaamylase and self-reported stress with more of a significant reduction in females than in males.

Thompson (2012) found that those who lived in green spaces experienced less stress and participated in more physical activity. Thompson also found self-reported decreases in stress, diurnal patterns of cortisol secretion, and quantity of relative green space in the living environment to all be positively correlated.

Another study found that when comparing a group of elderly women who spent 15 sessions outside participating in gardening activities versus staying inside, those who had gone outside had improved muscle mass and hand dexterity, and decreased waist circumference, whereas the women who spent the same time indoors had decreased muscle mass and agility and increased symptoms of depression (Park et al. 2016).

Stress reduction through green environments has been achieved in office settings as well. When employees were

exposed to roses in the workplace, they had significantly less heart rate variability than those who weren't exposed to roses (Callaghan and Mallory-Hill 2016, Ikei et al. 2014, Ikei et al. 2013, Smith and Pitt 2011). Interior plants can lead to healthy, productive workplaces through enhanced attention capacity, lower stress levels, and higher job satisfaction from viewing plants (Gilchrist et al. 2015, Hartig et al. 2014, Raanaas et al. 2011). This concept also carries over to break areas within the workplace (Berto 2014).

Biophilia is defined as humans' innate tendency to seek connections with nature and other forms of life. Biophilic design is the incorporation of biophilia into the built environment. There is a growing body of literature documenting the benefits of implementing plants on a large scale to capture the positive psychophysiological and cognitive benefits afforded by biophilia in architecture (Ryan et al. 2014). This type of architecture can reduce stress, enhance creativity and clarity of thought, and improve well-being in urbanized communities (Browning et al. 2016). This theory is also backed by Pouya (2016), who found that if these concepts were applied more widely, we would see more of a positive impact. The perceptual and physiological stress responses are correlated to the complexity of fractals in nature, art and architecture, and the predictability of the occurrence of design flows and patterns in nature (Bejan and Zane 2012, Salingaros 2012).

When young people, particularly students, have a view of green spaces during school, students exhibit significantly better performance on attention tests and stress recovery (Li and Sullivan 2016). Kelz et al. (2015) validated Li and Sullivan's findings by having children play on different types of playgrounds with varied levels of green space. The playground with high green space significantly reduced students' physiological stress levels and enhanced their psychological well-being. They also perceived the environment as being more restorative.

Lee et al. (2014) studied forest activities of Japanese citizens and found significant differences between the responses of the subjects in forest settings compared with those in urban environments in salivary cortisol concentration (an index of stress response), diastolic blood pressure, and pulse rate. Further, subjects felt more comfortable, soothed and refreshed when viewing a forest landscape than an urban landscape.

Mennis (2018) found urban green spaces are associated with lower stress when subjects are away from home, which is speculated to be due to the properties of stress reduction and attention restoration associated with exposure to natural areas, and to the influence of other family dynamics affecting stress levels within the home. Subjects may also seek out urban greenspaces at times of lower stress or explicitly for purposes of stress reduction.

Tree cover is also associated with stress reduction. Jiang et al. (2016) found a positive correlation between urban street tree density and self-reported stress recovery. Song (2015) also found that physiological effects of a forest environment can differ depending on a subject's initial levels of stress and that subjects with high initial blood pressure and pulse rate showed a decrease in these values after walking in a forested area, whereas those with low initial values showed an increase. There was no physiological adjustment effect observed in an urban area; thus, these effects are specific to a forest environment.

Aspinall et al. (2015) also documents that forest-bathing can cause stress reduction by using an EEG headset to measure brain waves by amplitude and frequency. Participants were asked to walk through an urban shopping center to a 25-ha (62 acres) green space and a busy commercial district with heavy traffic. The walk took participants approximately 25 minutes each. When comparing the urban shopping center to the green space, frustration, engagement, and arousal all decreased which is consistent with restoration theory but meditation increased, which was novel. When participants moved from the greenspace to the busy commercial district, their arousal/ engagement increased, indicating that stress/fear also increased.

Horiuchi et al. (2014) took another approach and used real viewings of forests and non-forested areas and compared near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) as well as mood state scores, heart-rate, blood pressure, and sAMY concentration (marker for stress). They found that the NIRS signal, cerebral oxygenation levels, and mood state levels were lower in forest settings than in non-forest conditions, but blood pressure, heart rate variability, and salivary amylase levels were similar. Interestedly, being in the forest also caused a spike in cerebral activity.

This is reinforced by results of Im et al. (2016), who looked at the effects of spending two hours in a forest in Japan. To test neurological effects, they collected blood and saliva samples and found that there was a significant change in the level of cytokines that contributing to the hyperactivity of the inflammatory response which is physiological reaction of a stress response.

Joung et al. (2015) showed through NIRS that total Hb (hemoglobin) concentration was significantly lower of forest scenery over urban scenery. A lower concentration of total Hb and oxy-Hb indicates that the quantity of oxygen transmitted to the prefrontal cortex tissue is small. In other words, the prefrontal cortex activity in a forest area is more stabilized than in an urban area.

Vedder et al. (2015) took a different approach. They used fMRI and asked individuals to imagine beautiful and non-beautiful environments. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) showed significantly more cortical activations when subjects imagined non-pleasant environments than when they imagined pleasant environments. The results of this study show that a positive and a negative frame of reference elicit distinct neural patterns of environmental cognition. This means that non-beautiful and non-pleasant environments demand more mental processing than beautiful and pleasant environments. The results correlate with previous propositions to explain the experience of negative environments as characterized by the demand on more mental resources than the experience of positive environments. In other words, interacting with a negative environment requires an additional investment in emotion processing, cognitive control, and motor function. These results support Aspinall et al. (2015), Horiuchi et al.

(2014), and Joung et al. (2015) with their claims of reduction in delta waves (brainwaves for agitation and excitement). Kim et al. (2010) found similar results when looking at stress reactions using fMRI.

Students were recruited from Edinburgh University by Roe et al. (2013a) to undergo an EEG study on natural settings (fields, forests, and parks) versus urban sceneries (buildings, roads, and walls). To control the effect of people and animals, both were withheld from being included in the pictures presented to the subjects. Subjects were asked to rate each slide on four criteria based on how attractive they found the scene, how likely are they to visit the scene, how the scene made them feel from sad to happy and also from calm to excited. The results for the ranking questions showed that the landscape scenes were perceived as more attractive, more inviting (willingness-to-visit), and greater valence. Arousal was strongly correlated to the urban scenes while interest was correlated to landscape scenes. This confirms restorative theory, indicating a positive psychological effect of natural scenes.

Rosenbaum used electroencephalogram (EEG) in a replication-type study with eve-tracking. Given the lack of neuroscience data in previous studies on consumer responses and biophilic design in retail settings, they had participants watch a video of a retail mall or lifestyle center (e.g. an upscale shopping center or mixed-use commercial development) with and without plants (biophilic and nonbiophilic). Those participants who viewed the biophilic video were more enthused and interested and experienced a higher state of mental relaxation than participants who viewed the non-biophilic video. Participants who viewed the biophilic video also reported lower levels of stress, more attractiveness/focus, and were more emotionally involved. This finding confirms previous results that suggest that shoppers are becoming bored in their excursions to enclosed malls while lifestyle centers continue to proliferate.

Attention Deficit Recovery (Attention Restoration Theory or ART)

Natural landscapes, such as beaches, waters, forests, parks, and mountains, and availability of public open spaces used for public entertainment and sports reduce attention deficit disorders (ADD/ADHD) (Coutts and Hahn 2015, Frumkin 2013, Keniger et al. 2013). Green restoration improved preschooler spatial working memory (Schutte 2017) and cognitive functioning improved when participants walked in nature (Berman et al. 2008). Children with ADHD concentrated better after a walk in a park than after a downtown neighborhood walk (Taylor and Kuo 2009). Wilson (2015) showed that children who play in greenspace for 30 minutes had increased sustained mental ability and found greenspace to be restorative. Taking micro-breaks to view nature can help with attention restoration (Lee et al. 2015).

Fractals & Visual Response

We are so separated from nature that we make up for its lack by imbuing our surroundings with those geometric

qualities found in nature (Salingaros 2012). We try to shape our immediate vicinity so that those qualities reproduce our response to natural environments. From biophilia, natural forms have inherent qualities, reducible to a mathematical description, that induce a healing effect. Complex biophilic environments dramatically increase brain size and performance on intelligence tests (Salingaros 2012).

Decreased Depression

Being immersed in nature and vegetation were used as active components in a therapeutic horticulture intervention for clinical depression (Beute and de Kort 2018, Gonzalez et al. 2010). Garden walking and reflective journaling decreased depression scores in older adults (McCaffrey et al. 2010). With patients who have major depressive disorder (MDD), those who walked in nature exhibited significant increases in memory span after the nature walk relative to the urban walk. Green spaces also reduced stress and pain, and increased attention performance (McCaffrey et al. 2010). Participants also showed increases in mood, but the mood effects did not correlate with the memory effects, suggesting separable mechanisms (Berman et al. 2012). Bezold (2018) put extensive numbers to this idea, with a 6% lower incidence of high depressive symptoms associated with greenness and found this relationship to be stronger with highly populated areas. Comparing household medical records and natural amenities, those residents with only 10% green space within about half a mile had a 25% greater risk of depression and a 30% greater risk of anxiety disorders versus those with the highest degree of green space near the home (Wolf and Housley 2014).

In a Korean study involving patients with moderate to severe depression, participants were assigned to cognitivebehavioral therapy in either a hospital setting or a forest setting (arboretum), while a third group acted as a control and were treated using standard outpatient care in the community (Wolf and Housley 2014). Overall, depressive symptoms were reduced most significantly in the forest group, and the odds of complete remission were 20-30% higher than typically observed from medication alone. Moreover, the forest therapy group had more pronounced reductions in physiological markers of stress, including lower levels of the stress hormone cortisol and improvements in heart rate variability, a marker of adequate circulatory system response to stress. It appears that the settings where psychotherapy is conducted can actually become part of the therapy (Wolf and Housley 2014).

Enhanced Memory Retention

A 2012 experiment in Michigan found that people were better able to perform a test of working memory (which measures one's ability to focus or concentrate) after walking through a green arboretum, compared to those who walked on traffic-heavy urban streets (Berman et al. 2012). Subjects who walked through the arboretum had a 20% improvement in working memory. Another study determined that people who went for a 50-minute walk in nature, compared to those who went for a similar length walk in an urban environment, experienced less anxiety and rumination, along with increased working memory performance (Berman et al. 2012).

Being in nature and greenspace can also help improve memory retention of patients suffering from strokes and dementia (Detweiler and Warf 2005). In children, nature exposure can influence cognitive development through improved working memory and a reduction in inattentiveness (Dadvand et al. 2015).

Greater Happiness/Life Satisfaction

Interacting with nature, especially with the presence of water, can increase self-esteem and mood, reduce anger, and improve general psychological well-being with positive effects on emotions or behavior (Barton and Pretty 2010, Keniger et al. 2013, Mensah et al. 2016, Windhager et al. 2011, Wolf and Housley 2014). In fact, moving to homes with greener areas positively influences mental health even after three years post-move (Alcock et al. 2014). Moving to a less-green area significantly worsens mental health within one year post-move, but returns to pre-move mental health status thereafter (Alcock et al. 2014). This is true for public green spaces as well. City park area quantity and accessibility is a strong predictor of physical and community well-being (Larson et al. 2016). Similarly, studies in Perth, Australia found that people in neighborhoods with high-quality public open spaces had better mental health than those with low-quality public open space (Francis et al. 2012a). Features that made an open space "high quality" included irrigated lawns, walking paths, lighting, water features, playgrounds, and birdlife. Mental health was assessed based on symptoms of psychological distress such as nervousness and feelings of hopelessness (Francis et al. 2012b). Findings were not affected by the quantity of open space in the neighborhood, nor by how frequently residents used the open space (Francis et al. 2012a).

Pro-environmental behavior and subjective well-being are positively associated. Those who are more connected to nature and exhibit environmentally-conscious behaviors tend to experience more positive vitality and life satisfaction compared to those less connected to nature (Capaldi et al. 2014).

Van Dillen (2012) determined, through meta-analysis, that quality and quantity of green space was correlated to good health. Greater species diversity positively affects personal well-being (Dallimer et al. 2012) and neighborhood well-being (Luck et al., 2009). Visiting protected natural sites (e.g. state parks) improves perceptions of psychological, emotional, and social benefits (Lemieux et al. 2012). Results from a meta-analysis in Toronto, Canada suggest that people who live in neighborhoods with a higher density of trees on their streets report significantly less cardio-metabolic conditions. Having 10 or more trees in a city block, on average, improves personal health perceptions in ways comparable to a \$10,000 increase in annual personal income or being 7 years younger (Kardan et al. 2015). The study also found that having 11 more trees in a city block, on average, decreases cardio-metabolic conditions in ways comparable to an increase in annual

personal income of \$20,000 and moving to a neighborhood with \$20,000 higher median income or being 1.4 years younger (Kardan et al. 2015).

Park et al. (2017) found that when subjects observed plants, Oxy-Hb (oxyhemoglobin) concentrations in the right prefrontal cortex were significantly lower, indicating a physiological state of relaxation. Subjects also reported more positive emotions (feeling more comfortable and relaxed) when viewing foliage plants.

Mitigation of PTSD

Veterans with PTS (post-traumatic stress) treated with Nature Adventure Rehabilitation (NAR) experienced an improvement in emotional and social quality of life, posttraumatic cognitive inventory, and hope and functioning (Gelkopf et al. 2013). NAR seems to work through a process of behavioral activation, desensitization, gradual exposure to anxiety evoking situations, and gaining control over symptomatology.

When victims of natural disasters, who are at a high risk of PTSD, participated in horticulture therapy (HT) programs, they showed an increase in regional gray matter volume (rGMV) of the left subgenual anterior cingulate cortex and left superior frontal gyrus compared with the stress education (SE) group (Kotozaki et al. 2015, Sekiguchi et al. 2015). They showed greater salivary cortisol and alpha amylase levels, which are all significantly reduced in individuals experiencing PTSD (Kotozaki 2014, Kotozaki et al. 2015, Sekiguchi et al. 2015). The HT group also showed improvement on PTSD reactions, post-traumatic growth, and positive states of mind (Kotozaki et al. 2015). Post-traumatic growth refers to the positive outcome of people who have experienced traumatic events through recovering their quality of life. People identified themselves with plant growth and gaining a chance to be happy once more (Kotozaki et al. 2015).

Increased Creativity

Ling and Dale (2011) found a link between landscape plants and creativity and considered how this may reflect the potential for cultural diversity and thus sustainable community development. Taking short walks in attractive green environments can boost creativity and vitality (Tyrvainen et al. 2014). These same areas can also be used for 'walking meetings' which help boost creativity (Oppezzo and Schwartz 2014).

Enhanced Productivity and Attention

Biophilic workplaces with views of nature and daylight can lead to higher productivity and attention with employees (Elzeyadi 2011, Windhager et al. 2011). Workers in offices with poor light quality and views used more sick leave hours and this effect contributes as much as 6.5% to sick leave use. Moisture released into the air by plants helps with a dry atmosphere, reducing headaches and improving concentration. Visible greenery, both indoors and out, reduces stress and increases the ability to concentrate (Alker et al. 2014, van Duijin et al. 2011). In one such concentration test, employees who had a view of plants completed the test 19% faster than employees in a room without a view of plants (Nieuwenhuis et al. 2014). Offices in the Netherlands and Great Britain experienced a 15% increase in worker productivity when plants were included in office space (Korpela et al. 2017, Nieuwenhuis et al. 2014).

The Heschong-Mahone Group studied productivity at the Sacramento Municipal Utility District Call Center where employees were either seated with views of vegetation through large windows or were excluded from the vegetation view. Employees who had a vegetation view made 6-7% more calls per hour than those with no view. The initial investment of installing the windows was recovered in 4 months by improved productivity (Alker et al. 2014).

Jumeno and Matsumoto (2013), however, did not find that plants in the workplace had a significant effect on productivity or attention but found a significant difference in the employee perceptions of friendliness, comfort, freshness, and cleanliness of the workplace. Erzsebet et al. (2014) suggests that improved employee productivity and attention can be positively affected by the airpurifying qualities of plants in the workplace by reducing various allergies, irritations, hypersensitivity, asthma, drowsiness, and eye problems, while also improving mood. Jumeno and Matsumoto (2016) sought to quantify the number of plants in a room that it would take to generate positive results and found the more plants in a room, the better the mood of the subjects. Their study also found that the number and the size of plants affected the perceived air quality and reaction times and as few as three small-tomedium sized plants can make a positive difference. Even a brief view of a green roof can have positive effects on mood and productivity (Lee and Maheswaran 2011).

When asked about plants in the workplace, 97% of employees would like to have more plants (Husti et al. 2015) because they perceive plants provide a sense of relaxation, make the work environment more similar to space at home, cheer up the image of the office, give a sense of relief, and improve work motivations. Employees without an outdoor view from their desk are five times more likely to put a plant in their office than those with an outdoor view (Bringslimark et al. 2011). Office employees with an outdoor green view were happier and had positively associated higher productivity and job satisfaction levels (Lottrup et al. 2015).

In elementary-level classrooms, green walls (described as a wall with green plants) can provide restorative impacts to school children. Results show that children in classrooms where a green wall was placed scored better on tests for selective attention (van den Berg et al. 2017). The green wall also positively influenced children's classroom evaluations. When integrating a school garden into the curriculum, children's physical activity was increased and sedentary behavior decreased (van den Berg et al. 2017). Children who received breaks and time outside exhibited improved concentration (Duvall and Sullivan 2016). Just placing plants in the classroom improved performance, with children progressing through school curriculum 20-26% faster (van Duijin et al. 2011).

Reduced effects of dementia

Participants in outside horticultural therapy activities such as gardening or landscaping are more actively engaged, have reduced incidents of aggressive behavior, and improved cognitive capacity (Gigliotti and Jarrott 2005).

Improved Self-Esteem

Natural green space has long been used in the promotion of human well-being through green exercise (exercise in a greenspace or outdoors) for improvements on mental health and self-esteem (Townsend and Weerasuriya 2010). A multi-study analysis assessed the best regime of green exercise that is needed to improve self-esteem and mood (Barton and Pretty 2010). Dose responses for both intensity and duration showed large benefits from short engagements in green exercise, and then diminishing but still positive returns (Barton and Pretty 2010). Every green environment improved both self-esteem and mood and the presence of water generated greater effects. Both men and women exhibited similar improvements in self-esteem after green exercise, though men showed a more positive difference in mood.

Summary

Consumers have historically shown an inclination to purchase products that enhance their quality of life (Hall and Dickson 2011), meaning they will purchase items that positively influence their social, physical, psychological, cognitive, environmental, and spiritual well-being. Plants in native and improved landscapes (and interiorscapes) have been documented to influence each of six quality of life constructs. This paper focused on providing evidence from the literature regarding the emotional and mental health benefits associated with plants, thereby influencing the psychological and cognitive well-being constructs of quality of life. This research should be strategically incorporated into both industry-wide and firm-specific marketing messages that highlight the quality of life value proposition in order to maintain the industry's sense of value and relevance to consumers of the future.

Literature Cited

Abraham, A., K. Sommerhalder, and T. Abel. 2010. Landscape and well-being: a scoping study on the health-promoting impact of outdoor environments. Intl. J. Public Health 55 (1): 59–69.

Alcock, I., M.P. White, B.W. Wheeler, L.E. Fleming, and M.H. Depledge. 2014. Longitudinal effects on mental health of moving to greener and less green urban areas. Environ. Sci. Tech. 48 (2): 1247–1255.

Alker, J., M. Malanca, C. Pottage, and R. O'Brien. 2014. Health, wellbeing & productivity in offices. The next chapter for green building. Rep. World Green Building Council. 87 p.

Alvarsson, J.J., S. Wiens, and M.E. Nilsson. 2010. Stress recovery during exposure to nature sound and environmental noise. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 7 (3): 1036–1046.

Aspinall, P., P. Mavros, R. Coyne, and J. Roe. 2015. The urban brain: analysing outdoor physical activity with mobile EEG. Br. J. Sports Med. 49 (4): 272–276.

Astell-Burt, T., X. Feng, and G.S. Kolt. 2013. Does access to neighborhood green space promote a healthy duration of sleep? Novel findings from 259, 319 Australians. BMJ Open 3 (8): e003094.

Barton, J. and J. Pretty. 2010. What is the best dose of nature and green exercise for improving mental health? A multi-study analysis. Environ. Sci. Tech. 44 (10): 3947–3955.

Beil, K. and D. Hanes. 2013. The influence of urban natural and built environments on physiological and psychological measures of stress - a pilot study. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 10 (4): 1250–1267.

Bejan, A. and J.P. Zane. 2012. Design in nature. Mechanical Engineering Mag. Select Articles 134 (06): 42–47.

Berman, M.G., J. Jonides, and S. Kaplan. 2008. The cognitive benefits of interacting with nature. Psych. Sci. 19 (12): 1207–1212.

Berman, M.G., E. Kross, K.M. Krpan, M.K. Askren, A. Burson, P.J. Deldin, S. Kaplan, L. Sherdell, I.H. Gotlib, and J. Jonides. 2012. Interacting with nature improves cognition and affect for individuals with depression. J. Affective Disorders 140 (3): 300–305.

Berto, R. 2014. The role of nature in coping with psychophysiological stress: A literature review on restorativeness. Behav. Sci. 4 (4): 394.

Beukeboom, C.J., D. Langeveld, and K. Tanja-Dijkstra. 2012. Stressreducing effects of real and artificial nature in a hospital waiting room. J. Alt. Compl. Med. 18 (4): 329–333.

Beute, F. and Y.A.W. de Kort. 2018. The natural context of wellbeing: Ecological momentary assessment of the influence of nature and daylight on affect and stress for individuals with depression levels varying from none to clinical. Health Place 49: 7-18.

Beyer, K., A. Kaltenbach, A. Szabo, S. Bogar, F. Nieto, and K. Malecki. 2014. Exposure to neighborhood green space and mental health: evidence from the survey of the health of Wisconsin. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 11 (3): 3453–3472.

Bezold, C.P., R.F. Banay, B.A. Coull, J.E. Hart, P. James, L.D. Kubzansky, S.A. Missmer, and F. Laden. 2018. The association between natural environments and depressive symptoms in adolescents living in the United States. J. Adolescent Health 62 (4): 488–495.

Bowler, D.E., L.M. Buyung-Ali, T.M. Knight, and A.S. Pullin. 2010. A systematic review of evidence for the added benefits to health of exposure to natural environments. BioMed Center Public Health 10 (1): 456.

Bringslimark, T., T. Hartig, and Grindal PatilG. . 2011. Adaptation to windowlessness: Do office workers compensate for a lack of visual access to the outdoors? Environ. Behavior 43 (4): 469–487.

Brown, D.K., J.L. Barton, and V.F. Gladwell. 2013. Viewing nature scenes positively affects recovery of autonomic function following acutemental stress. Environ. Sci. Tech. 47 (11): 5562–5569.

Browning, W., C. Ryan, and J. Clancy. 2016. Patterns of biophilic design. New York: Terrapin Bright Green, LLC. 64 p.

Callaghan, A. and S. Mallory-Hill. 2016. Biophilia and nature-based features to support stress reduction in knowledge workers. 12 p.

Capaldi, C.A., R.L. Dopko, and J.M. Zelenski. 2014. The relationship between nature connectedness and happiness: a meta-analysis. Frontiers Psych. 5: 976.

Carrus, G., M. Scopelliti, R. Lafortezza, G. Colangelo, F. Ferrini, F. Salbitano, M. Agrimi, L. Portoghesi, P. Semenzato, and G. Sanesi. 2015. Go greener, feel better? The positive effects of biodiversity on the wellbeing of individuals visiting urban and peri-urban green areas. Land. Urban Plan. 134 (0): 221–228.

Coutts, C. and M. Hahn. 2015. Green infrastructure, ecosystem services, and human health. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 12 (8): 9768.

Dadvand, P., M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen, M. Esnaola, J. Forns, X. Basagaña, M. Alvarez-Pedrerol, I. Rivas, M. López-Vicente, M.D.C. Pascual, and J. Su. 2015. Green spaces and cognitive development in primary schoolchildren. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 112 (26): 7937–7942.

Dallimer, M., K.N. Irvine, A.M. Skinner, Z.G. Davies, J.R. Rouquette, L.L. Maltby, P.H. Warren, P.R. Armsworth, and K.J. Gaston. 2012. Biodiversity and the feel-good factor: understanding associations between self-reported human well-being and species richness. BioSci. 62 (1): 47–55.

de Vries, S., S. van Dillen, P. Groenewegen, and P. Spreeuwenberg. 2013. Streetscape greenery and health: Stress, social cohesion and physical activity as mediators. Social Sci. Med. 94: 26-33.

de Vries, S., R.A. Verheij, P.P. Groenewegen, and P. Spreeuwenberg. 2003. Natural environments—healthy environments? An exploratory analysis of the relationship between greenspace and health. Environ. Plan. 35 (10): 1717–1731.

Detweiler, M.B. and C. Warf. 2005. Dementia wander garden aids post cerebrovascular stroke restorative therapy: a case study. Alternative Therapies in Health & Medicine 11 (4): 54–58.

Duvall, J. and Sullivan, W.C. 2016. How to get more out of the green exercise experience: Insights from Attention Restoration Theory. P 53–61 *In* J. Barton, R. Bragg, C. Wood, and J. Pretty (Eds.) *Green Exercise: Linking nature, health, and well-being.* Routledge/Taylor & Francis.

Elzeyadi, I.M. 2011. Daylighting bias and biophilia: Quantifying the impact of daylighting on occupants' health. US GBC, Eugene, OR. 9 p.

Entrix, I. 2010. Portland's green infrastructure: Quantifying the health, energy, and community livability benefits. City of Portland, Portland, OR. 101 p.

Erzsebet, B., M. Cantor, V. Singureanu, A. Husti, H. Denisa, and B. Mihai. 2014. Ornamental plants used for improvement of living, working and studying spaces microclimate. ProEnviron./ProMed. 6 (16): 562–565.

Fan, Y., K.V. Das, and Q. Chen. 2011. Neighborhood green, social support, physical activity, and stress: Assessing the cumulative impact. Health place 17 (6): 1202–1211.

Francis, J., B. Giles-Corti, L. Wood, and M. Knuiman. 2012a. Creating sense of community: The role of public space. J. Environ. Psych. 32 401-409.

Francis, J., L.J. Wood, M. Knuiman, and B. Giles-Corti. 2012b. Quality or quantity? Exploring the relationship between public open space attributes and mental health in Perth, Western Australia. Soc. Sci. Med. 74 (10): 1570–1577.

Frumkin, H. 2013. The evidence of nature and the nature of evidence. American J. Prev. Med. 44 (2): 196–197.

Gelkopf, M., I. Hasson-Ohayon, M. Bikman, and S. Kravetz. 2013. Nature adventure rehabilitation for combat-related posttraumatic chronic stress disorder: A randomized control trial. Psychiatry Res. 209 (3): 485– 493.

Gigliotti, C.M. and S.E. Jarrott. 2005. Effects of horticulture therapy on engagement and affect. Canadian J Aging/La Revue canadienne du vieillissement 24 (4): 367–377.

Gilchrist, K., C. Brown, and A. Montarzino. 2015. Workplace settings and wellbeing: Greenspace use and views contribute to employee wellbeing at peri-urban business sites. Land. Urban Plan. 138: 32-40.

Gonzalez, M.T., T. Hartig, G.G. Patil, E.W. Martinsen, and M. Kirkevold. 2010. Therapeutic horticulture in clinical depression: a prospective study of active components. J. Adv. Nursing 66 (9): 2002–2013.

Groenewegen, P.P., A.E. van den Berg, J. Maas, R.A. Verheij, and S. de Vries. 2012. Is a green residential environment better for health? If so, why? Annals Assoc. American Geographers 102 (5): 996–1003.

Hall, C. and M. Dickson. 2011. Economic, environmental, and health/ well-being benefits associated with green industry products and services: A review. J. Environ. Hort. 29 (June): 96–103.

Hartig, T., R. Mitchell, S. De Vries, and H. Frumkin. 2014. Nature and health. Annual Review of Public Health 35 207-228.

Horiuchi, M., J. Endo, N. Takayama, K. Murase, N. Nishiyama, H. Saito, and A. Fujiwara. 2014. Impact of viewing vs. not viewing a real forest on physiological and psychological responses in the same setting. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 11 (10): 10883–10901.

Husti, A.M., I. Ciobanu, R. Cicevan, I. Neacsu, and M. Cantor. 2015. Image of ornamental plants in work environments and their effect on employees. Agricultura 95 (3-4): 3–4. Ikei, H., M. Komatsu, C. Song, E. Himoro, and Y. Miyazaki. 2014. The physiological and psychological relaxing effects of viewing rose flowers in office workers. J. Physiol. Anthro. 33 (1): 6.

Ikei, H., J. Lee, C. Song, M. Komatsu, E. Himoro, and Y. Miyazaki. 2013. Physiological relaxation of viewing rose flowers in high school students [Article in Japanese, English abstract]. Jpn. J. Physiol. Anthro. 18:97–103.

Im, S.G., H. Choi, Y.H. Jeon, M.K. Song, W. Kim, and J.M. Woo. 2016. Comparison of effect of two-hour exposure to forest and urban environments on cytokine, anti-oxidant, and stress levels in young adults. Intl. J. Environ. Res public health 13 (7): 625.

Jiang, B., D. Li, L. Larsen, and W. Sullivan. 2016. A dose-response curve describing the relationship between urban tree cover density and self-reported stress recovery. Environ. Behav. 48(4): 607–629.

Joung, D., G. Kim, Y. Choi, H. Lim, S. Park, J.M. Woo, and B.J. Park. 2015. The prefrontal cortex activity and psychological effects of viewing forest landscapes in autumn season. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 12 (7): 7235–7243.

Jumeno, D. and H. Matsumoto. 2016. The effects of indoor foliage plants on perceived air quality, mood, attention, and productivity. J. Civil Eng. Arch. Res. 3 (4): 1359–1370.

Jumeno, D. and H. Matsumoto. 2013. The effects of the number of indoor foliage plants on productivity, stress and attention, Proceedings of CLIMA. Prague, Czech Republic June 16-19, 2013. 8:1–9.

Kardan, O., P. Gozdyra, B. Misic, F. Moola, L.J. Palmer, T. Paus, and M.G. Berman. 2015. Neighborhood greenspace and health in a large urban center. Scientific Reports 5: 11610.

Kelz, C., G.W. Evans, and K. Röderer. 2015. The restorative effects of redesigning the schoolyard: A multi-methodological, quasi-experimental study in rural Austrian middle schools. Environment and Behavior 47 (2): 119–139.

Keniger, L., K. Gaston, K. Irvine, and R. Fuller. 2013. What are the benefits of interacting with nature? Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 10 (3): 913–935.

Kim, T.H., G.W. Jeong, H.S. Baek, G.W. Kim, T. Sundaram, H.K. Kang, and J.K. Song. 2010. Human brain activation in response to visual stimulation with rural and urban scenery pictures: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Sci. Total Environ. 408 (12): 2600–2607.

Kjellgren, A. and H. Buhrkall. 2010. A comparison of the restorative effect of a natural environment with that of a simulated natural environment. J. Environ. Psych. 30 (4): 464–472.

Korpela, K., J. De Bloom, M. Sianoja, T. Pasanen, and U. Kinnunen. 2017. Nature at home and at work: Naturally good? Links between window views, indoor plants, outdoor activities and employee well-being over one year. Land. Urban Plan. 160: 38-47.

Kotozaki, Y. 2014. Medium- to long-term psychological support for women living in areas affected by the great East Japan Earthquake empirical studies on the impact of horticultural therapy. J Trauma Treatment 3 187-189.

Kotozaki, Y., H. Takeuchi, A. Sekiguchi, T. Araki, K. Takahashi, Y. Yamamoto, T. Nozawa, Y. Taki, and R. Kawashima. 2015. Positive effects of the victim by the growing of plants after great East Japan earthquake. Intl. J. Recent Sci. Res. 6 (2): 2850–2858.

Larson, L.R., V. Jennings, and S.A. Cloutier. 2016. Public parks and wellbeing in urban areas of the United States. PLoS ONE 11 (4): e0153211.

Lee, A.C. and R. Maheswaran. 2011. The health benefits of urban green spaces: a review of the evidence. J. Public Health 33 (2): 212–222.

Lee, J., Y. Tsunetsugu, N. Takayama, B.-J. Park, Q. Li, C. Song, M. Komatsu, H. Ikei, L. Tyrväinen, and T. Kagawa. 2014. Influence of forest therapy on cardiovascular relaxation in young adults. Evidence-Based Compl. Alt. Med. 1-7 doi: 10.1155/2014/834360.

Lee, K.E., K.J.H. Williams, L.D. Sargent, N.S.G. Williams, and K.A. Johnson. 2015. 40-second green roof views sustain attention: The role of micro-breaks in attention restoration. J. Environmental Psychology 42: 182-189.

Lemieux, C.J., P.F. Eagles, D.S. Slocombe, S.T. Doherty, S.J. Elliott, and S.E. Mock. 2012. Human health and well-being motivations and benefits associated with protected area experiences: An opportunity for transforming policy and management in Canada. Parks 18 (1): 71–85.

Li, D. and W.C. Sullivan. 2016. Impact of views to school landscapes on recovery from stress and mental fatigue. Land. Urban Plan. 148: 149-158.

Ling, C. and A. Dale. 2011. Nature, place and the creative class: Three Canadian case studies. Land. Urban Plan. 99 (3-4): 239–247.

Lottrup, L., U.K. Stigsdotter, H. Meilby, and A.G. Claudi. 2015. The workplace window view: a determinant of office workers' work ability and job satisfaction. Land. Res. 40 (1): 57–75.

Luck, G.W., L.T. Smallbone, and R. O'Brien. 2009. Socio-economics and vegetation change in urban ecosystems: patterns in space and time. Ecosystems 12 (4): 604–620.

McCaffrey, R., C. Hanson, and W. McCaffrey. 2010. Garden walking for depression: a research report. Holistic Nursing Practice 24 (5): 252–259.

Mennis, J., M. Mason, and A. Ambrus. 2018. Urban greenspace is associated with reduced psychological stress among adolescents: A Geographic Ecological Momentary Assessment (GEMA) analysis of activity space. Landscape and Urban Planning 174:1–9.

Mensah, C.A., L. Andres, U. Perera, and A. Roji. 2016. Enhancing quality of life through the lens of green spaces: A systematic review approach. International Journal of Wellbeing 6 (1):142–163.

Nieuwenhuis, M., C. Knight, T. Postmes, and S.A. Haslam. 2014. The relative benefits of green versus lean office space: three field experiments. J. Experi. Psych. - Applied 20 (3): 199–214.

Nutsford, D., A. Pearson, and S. Kingham. 2013. An ecological study investigating the association between access to urban green space and mental health. Public Health 127 (11): 1005–1011.

Oppezzo, M. and D.L. Schwartz. 2014. Give your ideas some legs: The positive effect of walking on creative thinking. J. Experi. Psych: Learning, Memory, Cog. 40 (4): 1142.

Park, B.J., Y. Tsunetsugu, T. Kasetani, T. Kagawa, and Y. Miyazaki. 2010. The physiological effects of Shinrin-yoku (taking in the forest atmosphere or forest bathing): evidence from field experiments in 24 forests across Japan. Environmental health and preventive medicine 15 (1): 18.

Park, S., A. Lee, K. Son, W. Lee, and D. Kim. 2016. Gardening intervention for physical and psychological health benefits in elderly women at community centers. HortTech 26 (4): 474–483.

Park, S., Song, C., Oh, Y., Miyazaki, Y. and K. Son. 2017. Comparison of physiological and psychological relaxation using measurements of heart rate variability, prefrontal cortex activity, and subjective indexes after completing tasks with and without foliage Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 14:1087 doi:10.3390/ijerph14091087.

Pouya, S., E. Bayramoğlu, and Ö. Demirel. 2016. Restorative garden as an useful way to relieve stress in megacities, a case study in Istanbul. İnonu Universitesi Sanat ve Tasarim Dergisi 6 (13): 355–369.

Raanaas, R.K., K.H. Evensen, D. Rich, G. Sjøstrøm, and G. Patil. 2011. Benefits of indoor plants on attention capacity in an office setting. Journal of Environmental Psychology 31 (1): 99–105.

Roe, J.J., P.A. Aspinall, P. Mavros, and R. Coyne. 2013a. Engaging the brain: the impact of natural versus urban scenes using novel EEG methods in an experimental setting. Environ. Sci. 1 (2): 93–104.

Roe, J.J., C.W. Thompson, P.A. Aspinall, M.J. Brewer, E.I. Duff, D. Miller, R. Mitchell, and A. Clow. 2013b. Green space and stress: Evidence from cortisol measures in deprived urban communities. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 10 (9): 4086–4103.

Russell, R., A.D. Guerry, P. Balvanera, R.K. Gould, X. Basurto, K.M. Chan, S. Klain, J. Levine, and J. Tam. 2013. Humans and nature: how knowing and experiencing nature affect well-being. Annual Rev. Environ. Res. 38 473-502.

Ryan, C.O., W.D. Browning, J.O. Clancy, S.L. Andrews, and N.B. Kallianpurkar. 2014. Biophilic design patterns: emerging nature-based

parameters for health and well-being in the built environment. International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR 8 (2): 62–76.

Salingaros, N.A. 2012. Beauty, life, and the geometry of the environment. Chapter 2 63-103.

Schutte, A. 2017. Impact of urban nature on executive functioning in early and middle childhood. Env. and Behavior 49(1):3–30. doi.org/10. 1177/0013916515603095.

Sekiguchi, A., Y. Kotozaki, M. Sugiura, R. Nouchi, H. Takeuchi, S. Hanawa, S. Nakagawa, C.M. Miyauchi, T. Araki, A. Sakuma, Y. Taki, and R. Kawashima. 2015. Resilience after 3/11: structural brain changes 1 year after the Japanese earthquake. Molecular Psych. 20 (5): 552–554.

Smith, A. and M. Pitt. 2011. Healthy workplaces: plantscaping for indoor environmental quality. Facilities 29 (3/4): 169–187.

Song, C.R., H. Ikei, M. Kobayashi, T. Miura, M. Taue, T. Kagawa, Q. Li, S. Kumeda, M. Imai, and Y. Miyazaki. 2015. Effect of Forest Walking on Autonomic Nervous System Activity in Middle-Aged Hypertensive Individuals: A Pilot Study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 12 (3): 2687–2699.

Stigsdotter, U.K. 2015. Nature, health and design. Alam Cipta 8: 89-96.

Stigsdotter, U.K., O. Ekholm, J. Schipperijn, M. Toftager, F. Kamper-Jørgensen, and T.B. Randrup. 2010. Health promoting outdoor environments-Associations between green space, and health, healthrelated quality of life and stress based on a Danish national representative survey. Scandinavian J. Soc. Med. 38 (4): 411–417.

Taylor, A.F. and F.E. Kuo. 2009. Children with attention deficits concentrate better after walk in the park. J. Attention Disorders 12 (5): 402–409.

Thompson, C.W., P. Aspinall, J. Roe, L. Robertson, and D. Miller. 2016. Mitigating stress and supporting health in deprived urban communities: the importance of green space and the social environment. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 13 (4): 440.

Thompson, C.W., J. Roe, P. Aspinall, R. Mitchell, A. Clow, and D. Miller. 2012. More green space is linked to less stress in deprived communities: Evidence from salivary cortisol patterns. Land. Urban Plan. 105 (3): 221–229.

Townsend, M. and R. Weerasuriya. 2010. Beyond blue to green: The benefits of contact with nature for mental health and well-being. University Australia Deakin, Melbourne, Australia. 152 p.

Triguero-Mas, M., P. Dadvand, M. Cirach, D. Martínez, A. Medina, A. Mompart, X. Basagaña, R. Gražulevičienė, and M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen. 2015. Natural outdoor environments and mental and physical health: relationships and mechanisms. Environ. Intl. 77: 35-41.

Tyrvainen, L., A. Ojala, K. Korpela, T. Lanki, Y. Tsunetsugu, and T. Kagawa. 2014. The influence of urban green environments on stress relief measures: A field experiment. Journal of Environmental Psychology 38: 1–9.

van den Berg, A. and M. Custers. 2011. Gardening promotes neuroendocrine and affective restoration from stress. J. Health Psych. 16 (1): 3–11.

van den Berg, A.E., J.E. Wesselius, J. Maas, and K. Tanja-Dijkstra. 2017. Green walls for a restorative classroom environment: a controlled evaluation study. Environ. Behav. 49 (7): 791–813.

van Dillen, S.M., S. de Vries, P.P. Groenewegen, and P. Spreeuwenberg. 2012. Greenspace in urban neighbourhoods and residents' health: adding quality to quantity. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 66 (6): e8. doi: 10.1136/jech.2009.104695.

van Duijin, B., J. Klein Hesselink, M. Kester, and Jansen en Hilde SpittersJ. 2011. 'Planten in de klas' [plants in the classroom]. Productschap Tuinbouw (Product Board for Horticulture), Rapport Project.

Vedder, A., L. Smigielski, E. Gutyrchik, Y. Bao, J. Blautzik, E. Pöppel, and E. Russell. 2015. Neurofunctional correlates of environmental cognition: an fMRI study with images from episodic memory. Plos One 10 (4): e0122470. Watts, G. 2017. The effects of "greening" urban areas on the perceptions of tranquillity. Urban Forestry Urban Greening 26: 11-17.

White, M.P., S. Pahl, K. Ashbullby, S. Herbert, and M.H. Depledge. 2013. Feelings of restoration from recent nature visits. J. Environ. Psych. 35: 40-51.

White, M.P., S. Pahl, B.W. Wheeler, M.H. Depledge, and L.E. Fleming. 2017. Natural environments and subjective wellbeing: Different types of exposure are associated with different aspects of wellbeing. Health place 45: 77-84.

Wilson, M.R. 2015. Green play: Restorative neurobehavioral effects on ADHD children. Montreat College, ProQuest, Master of Science in Environmental Education. 65 p.

Windhager, S., K. Atzwanger, F.L. Bookstein, and K. Schaefer. 2011. Fish in a mall aquarium—An ethological investigation of biophilia. Land. Urban Plan. 99 (1): 23–30.

Wolf, K. and E. Housley. 2014. Reflect & restore: urban green space for mental wellness. The TKF Foundation, Annapolis, MD. 14 p.

An Update of the Literature Supporting the Well-Being Benefits of Plants: Part 2 Physiological Health Benefits¹

Charles R. Hall and Melinda J. Knuth²

– Abstract —

This paper focused on providing evidence from the literature regarding the physiological health benefits associated with plants, thereby influencing the physiological, psychological, and cognitive well-being constructs affecting quality of life. These benefits are segmented and discussed using the following categories: better sleep, increased birthweights, decreased diabetes, decreased ocular discomfort, enhanced immunity, improved circadian functioning, improved rehabilitation, decreased cardiovascular and respiratory disease, decreased mortality, improved digestion, decreased allergies, increased physical activity, and improved cognitive development. This research should be strategically incorporated into both industry-wide and firm-specific marketing messages that highlight the quality of life value proposition in order to maintain the industry's sense of value and relevance to residential landscape consumers of the future. These findings also present evidence that municipal leaders and policymakers can use in justifying green infrastructure-related funding decisions, as well as grounds for the construction industry using biophilic design principles in ensuring the built environment offers opportunities for green space interactions. The green industry can play a pivotal role not only in providing plants of high quality for these applications but educating stakeholders regarding the benefits discussed herein.

Index words: benefits of plants, emotional health, mental health.

Significance to the Horticulture Industry

This paper is the second of a four-part series that provides a review of the substantial body of peer-reviewed research that has been conducted regarding the economic, environmental, and health and well-being benefits of green industry products and services. While the first article focused on the emotional and mental health benefits that plants provide, this article focuses specifically on the physiological health benefits provided by plants. These benefits include better sleep, increased birthweights, decreased incidence of diabetes, decreased ocular discomfort, enhanced immunity, improved circadian functioning, improved rehabilitation from illnesses, decreased likelihood of cardiovascular and respiratory disease, decreased mortality, improved digestive functioning, decreased susceptibility to allergies, and improved cognitive development. This research should be strategically incorporated into both industry-wide and firm-specific marketing messages that highlight how quality of life dimensions are affected in order to enhance the perceived value and relevance of green industry products for gardening and landscaping consumers in the future.

Introduction

In 2011, Hall and Dickson published a forum article in the Journal of Environmental Horticulture (JEH) that summarized the economic, environmental, and health and well-being benefits associated with people-plant interactions. The proposition put forth in that article was that green industry firms needed to focus on these types of functional benefits in their marketing messages to consumers rather than simply base their value proposition on the features and benefits of the plants themselves (e.g. aesthetic characteristics, insect and/or disease resistance, cold or heat tolerance, salt tolerance, drought resistance, etc.). By doing so, the end consumer would better understand the inherent ways in which plants improve the quality of their lives and begin perceiving plants to be a necessity in their lives rather than a mere luxury they could cast aside during economic downturns, as they did during the "Great Recession" of 2008-2009. Since 2011, there has been a plethora of additional

Since 2011, there has been a plethora of additional research studies conducted regarding these functional plant benefits. A total of 1,348 citations have been compiled in total and about two-thirds of those have been conducted since 2011. These voluminous studies provide compelling evidence that warrants further attention. Thus, this new series of forum articles attempts to update the findings summarized in the original article by Hall and Dickson by focusing on the research on plant benefits that has been conducted since 2011. By doing so, this new information provides the basis for even more innovative green industry marketing efforts, which, in turn, may positively influence the price elasticity of demand for plants in general.

The second topic in the four-part series, *physiological health benefits of plants*, is one that has been shown to resonate with consumers of all demographic segments (Hall and Dickson 2011). These benefits are segmented and discussed using the following categories: better sleep, increased birthweights, decreased diabetes, decreased ocular discomfort, enhanced immunity improved circadian functioning, improved rehabilitation, decreased cardiovas-cular and respiratory disease, decreased mortality, improved digestion, decreased allergies, increased physical activity, and improved cognitive development.

Many of these benefits can be experienced during exposure to plants in both the built environment and the natural environment. The built environment includes all human-made spaces in which people live, work, and play including buildings, gray infrastructure (e.g. utilities, transportation networks, etc.), and improved landscapes (outdoor landscape spaces that have been "improved"

¹Received for publication May 7, 2019; in revised form June 10, 2019. ²Professor and Graduate Student, respectively, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-2133. Corresponding author: Charles Hall (c-hall@tamu.edu).

aesthetically). The term "green spaces" has been used extensively to refer to areas of urban vegetation including public and private parks and gardens, residential landscapes, and urban forests and other municipal landscapes. However, with urbanization and global migration into urban centers, exposure to outdoor green spaces is becoming less frequent in people's everyday life, prompting the use of biophilic design principles to offer exposure to the elements of natural environments within the built environment. For example, "green buildings" often incorporate green walls, green roofs, water features, natural lighting, and natural materials that emulate nature.

Better Sleep

Getting inadequate amounts of sleep can heighten risks for obesity, chronic disease, and mortality (Cappuccio et al. 2011, Cappuccio et al. 2008, Chaput et al. 2007, Hislop and Arber 2003, Hublin et al. 2007). Time spent in natural settings and improved landscapes can decrease multiple issues with sleep (Morita et al. 2011). For example, short sleep syndrome is less common in "greener" (e.g. more plants incorporated) residential surroundings (Astell-Burt et al. 2013). Experiencing indoor and outdoor natural environments helps transition individuals from a state of stress towards a state of relaxation and subconscious activity enabling better sleep (El-Sheikh et al. 2013), reflected by an improvement in common measures of sleep quality (Astell-Burt et al. 2013, Grigsby-Toussaint et al. 2015, Morita et al. 2011).

Birthweight

Residential greenness during pregnancy is associated with healthier birth weights and lowered risk of small-forgestational-size infants (Dadvand et al. 2012a, Dadvand et al. 2012b, Donovan et al. 2011, Hystad et al. 2014). Birth outcomes may be influenced by noise and pollution but results from a recent study found that birth outcomes can also be heavily influenced by psychosocial and psychological factors (Nicole 2014). Positive birth outcomes were associated with "greenness thresholds" above 0.15 (scores under 0.15 are considered dense urban areas along major roadways, etc.) (Nicole 2014).

Specifically, greater exposure to plants affects birth outcomes by altering increasing maternal levels of physical activity, reducing maternal stress, enhancing social contacts among mothers, reducing maternal noise and air pollution exposure, and moderating ambient temperatures (Dadvand et al. 2012a). Studies that used birth registries to link the mother's address at birth to a measure of greenness (most commonly, the normalized difference vegetation index or NDVI), found consistent positive associations between greenness and birth weight (Agay-Shay et al. 2014, Dadvand et al. 2012a, Dadvand et al. 2014a, Hystad et al. 2014, Markevych et al. 2014).

Other studies found that higher greenness exposure was linked to lower odds of a child being small for gestational age or preterm (Hystad et al. 2014), larger head circumferences (Dadvand et al. 2012a), and lower infant mortality risk, although these findings were not replicated across all studies because some birth registry studies were not able to account for alcohol or tobacco use (Agay-Shay et al. 2014) or maternal income or education (Hystad et al. 2014). However, most analyses were able to adjust for these factors and also model complex environmental exposures including air pollution (Dadvand et al. 2012a, Hystad et al. 2014), neighborhood walkability, and noise (Hystad et al. 2014). Stronger associations between greenness and birth outcomes were observed among those whose parents had lower levels of education and lower socio-economic status (Agay-Shay et al. 2014, Dadvand et al. 2012a, Markevych et al. 2014).

Decreased Diabetes

Interacting with plants also counters the adverse effects of stress on energy metabolism, insulin secretion, inflammatory pathways (Bhasin et al. 2013), and ultimately diabetes and obesity (Astell-Burt et al. 2014, Bodicoat et al. 2014, Lachowycz and Jones 2011, Thiering et al. 2016). Walking in natural areas or improved landscapes (outdoor landscape spaces that have been "improved" aesthetically) results in healthier levels of the hormone *didehydroepiandrosterone* in the bloodstream (DHEA) (Ohtsuka 1998). DHEA has cardioprotective, anti-obesity, and anti-diabetic properties (Bjørnerem et al. 2004). Thus, regular exposure to natural areas helps protect against obesity, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and coronary heart disease.

Even brief exposure to plants has a number of positive short-term effects, which suggests that regular nature exposure could improve diabetes outcomes significantly by stimulating the release of anti-diabetic hormones *adiponectin* and DHEA, modulating insulin by way of its effects on parasympathetic activity (Bhasin et al. 2013), and normalizing elevated blood glucose. In diabetic patients, monthly nature walks were sufficient to reduce glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) to just below the threshold value for a diabetes diagnosis. Not surprisingly then, diabetes mellitus (Type 1 or 2) is less prevalent among individuals living in greener surroundings (Astell-Burt et al. 2014, Maas et al. 2009) and among public park users than non-park-users (Tamosiunas et al. 2014).

Decreased Ocular Discomfort

Being around plants indoors results in decreased ocular (eye) discomfort (French et al. 2013, Guggenheim et al. 2012). A cohort of sixth grade students at two newly constructed elementary schools performed a self-assessment of ocular discomfort symptoms in association with indoor air quality. While indoor plant additions made little difference in air temperature and relative humidity, the plants did stabilize levels of carbon dioxide and decreased indoor concentrations of volatile organic compounds such as toluene and xylene, which may lead to ocular discomfort. Students in classrooms without indoor plants experienced an increase in ocular discomfort symptoms, those in classrooms with indoor plants demonstrated a decrease in frequency (He et al. 2015).

Enhanced Immunity

Immunity is generally referred to as the body's ability to ward off disease or withstand infection. Recent studies show that immunity from illnesses can be enhanced by viewing, interacting with, or even being in the vicinity of plants. Kuo (2015) and Song et al. (2016) both found that being in nature improves immune function in several ways. First, consistent with the "hygiene hypothesis," contact with microbial and other antigens in natural settings during particular developmental windows may modify (improve) immune function over the lifespan (Hanski et al. 2012, Kondrashova et al. 2013, Nicolaou et al. 2005, Rook 2013, Ruokolainen et al. 2015, Stiemsma et al. 2015), perhaps operating through effects on the microbiome (Lee and Mazmanian 2010). Second, short-term exposure to natural substances (such as phytoncides from trees) have been associated with improved natural killer (NK) cell activity (Li 2010, Li and Kawada 2011, Li et al. 2008a, Li et al. 2008b, Li et al. 2006). NK cells play important protective roles against cancer, viral infections, and inflammatory cytokines that have been implicated in diabetes, cardiovascular disease, depression, and other negative health outcomes (Cesari et al. 2003, Dowlati et al. 2010, Orange and Ballas 2006, Wellen and Hotamisligil 2005).

These natural killer cells (also known as NK cells, K cells, and killer cells) are a type of lymphocyte (a white blood cell) and a component of innate immune systems. Stress recovery and immune function mechanisms may not be distinct because of reciprocal relationships between these two physiologic systems (Irwin and Cole 2011, Nusslock and Miller 2016). Fantuzzi (2013) also found that *adiponectin* levels in the body increase while in nature and improved landscapes (Li and Kawada 2011), which helps protect against atherosclerosis, acute urinary tract infections, infectious diseases of the intestinal canal, and upper respiratory tract infections.

Illnesses associated with failing immunoregulation and poorly-regulated inflammatory responses, manifested as chronically raised levels of C-reactive protein and proinflammatory cytokines, are mitigated through exposure to plant-filled nature, reducing the levels of these inflammatory cytokines (Mao et al. 2012). There is another theory that the "awe" experienced with viewing impressive landscape settings helps with immunity (Stellar et al. 2015). Regular experiences of awe are tied to healthier, lower levels of inflammatory cytokines (Stellar et al. 2015). Moreover, extended time in nature decreased inflammatory cytokines implicated in chronic disease by roughly onehalf (Mao et al. 2012).

Environmental biodiversity has been proposed to contribute to human *commensal microbiota*, the "good bacteria" living on or in the human body (Rook 2013, Von Hertzen et al. 2011). Commensal microbiota play a role in the immune system's ability to tolerate rather than attack non-threats (Kuo 2013). In one study, the abundance of one particular commensal microorganism on the skin was correlated with levels of an anti-inflammatory cytokine playing a key role in immunologic tolerance (IL-10) (Hanski et al. 2012). The more access that children have to natural settings in which to play, the more proteobacteria

on their skin and the more diverse their gammaproteobacteria (Hanski et al. 2012, Ruokolainen et al. 2015).

Epidemiological studies suggest that living close to the natural environment is associated with long-term health benefits including reduced death rates, reduced cardiovascular disease, and reduced psychiatric problems (Rook 2013). This is often attributed to psychological mechanisms boosted by exercise, social interactions, and sunlight. Compared with urban environments, exposure to green spaces does indeed trigger rapid psychological, physiological, and endocrinological effects.

Improved Autonomic Nervous System and Parasympathetic Activity

The autonomic nervous system is a control system that acts largely unconsciously and regulates bodily functions such as the heart rate, digestion, respiratory rate, pupillary response, urination, and physical arousal. This system is the primary mechanism in control of the fight-or-flight response. The sympathetic nervous system is the part of the autonomic nervous system that prepares the body to react to stresses such as threat or injury. It causes muscles to contract and heart rate to increase. The parasympathetic nervous system is the part of the autonomic nervous system that controls functions of the body at rest. It helps maintain homeostasis in the body. It causes muscles to relax and heart rate to decrease.

Window views and images of green spaces in nature reduce sympathetic nervous activity and increase parasympathetic activity (Brown et al. 2013, Gladwell et al. 2012), These sympathetic and parasympathetic effects drive immune system behavior (Kenney and Ganta 2011) with long-term health consequences (van den Berg et al. 2015b). As little as five minutes of exposure to images of trees, grass, and fields in a laboratory setting is enough to increase parasympathetic nervous activity and decrease heart rate (Brown et al. 2013, Gladwell et al. 2012). Relaxation has important implications for health, and, when used regularly, relaxation techniques have documented dose-response effects on immune functioning (Kang et al. 2011). Deep states of relaxation counter the adverse effects of stress on energy metabolism, insulin secretion, and inflammatory pathways (Bhasin et al. 2013) with potential implications for diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and other inflammatory disorders. Parasympathetic dominance also appears to play an important role in sleep quality (El-Sheikh et al. 2013).

Improved Rehabilitation

Many older people in senior living facilities suffer from complex health problems (DelSesto 2017). The total effect of green spaces on self-perceived health has been shown to be positive and significant by generating a sense of being "away" from the facility, enhancing the level of interest associated with their day, and fostering an environment that encourages visitation from family and friends (Dahlkvist et al. 2016).

Field experiments in hospitals show much faster postoperative healing and a reduced need for pain medication in patients with rooms whose windows look out on trees and other elements in the landscape (Mehaffy and Salingaros 2015, Park et al. 2013). To examine the health benefits of a bedroom window view to natural surroundings, coronary and pulmonary patients were divided in half (Raanaas et al. 2012) and patients were placed either in a private bedroom with a panoramic view to natural surroundings or in a room with a view that was partially or entirely blocked by buildings. For women, a blocked view appeared to negatively influence change in physical health, whereas for men, a blocked view appeared to negatively influence change in mental health (Raanaas et al. 2012). Pulmonary patients with a panoramic view showed greater improvement in mental health than coronary patients without such a view. Those with a panoramic view to nature more often chose to stay in their bedroom when they wanted to be alone than those with a blocked view (Raanaas et al. 2012).

Lower Cardiovascular Disease Risk and Blood Pressure

Contact with nature and improved landscapes has been tied to both short- and long-term outcomes related to cardiovascular disease (Ray and Jakubec 2014). Walks in these settings have a number of positive short-term effects on the cardiovascular system by raising serum levels of adiponectin – which is antiatherogenic, and DHEA – which is cardio protective. In addition, in hypertensive patients, walks in nature decrease serum levels of a number of factors associated with high blood pressure: endothelin-1, homocysteine, renin, angiotensin II type 1 receptor, and angiotensin II type 2 receptor (Mao et al. 2012). Not surprisingly then, these walks lower blood pressure in young and middle-aged adults (Li 2010, Park et al. 2010) as well as older adults with hypertension (Mao et al. 2012). When experienced regularly, these short-term effects appear to promote cardiovascular health: individuals living in greener surroundings have lower blood pressure on average (Markevych et al. 2014a), lower rates of cardiovascular disease (Maas et al. 2009, Pereira et al. 2012, Tamosiunas et al. 2014), lower rates of cardiovascular mortality (Coutts et al. 2010, Donovan et al. 2013, Mitchell and Popham 2008, Richardson et al. 2010, Villeneuve et al. 2012), and higher survival rates after ischemic stroke (Wilker et al. 2014). A handful of studies, generally comparing larger geographical units, found a positive, but not statistically-significant relationship, between greener areas and cardiovascular outcomes (Coutts et al. 2010, Mitchell et al. 2011, Richardson et al. 2010).

The gap between the natural setting, for which our physiological functions are adapted, and the highly urbanized and artificial setting that we inhabit is a contributing cause of the "stress state" in modern people (Song et al. 2016). Walking in and viewing nature can lower blood pressure and heart rate (Brown et al. 2013, Duncan et al. 2014, Haluza et al. 2014, Markevych et al. 2014, Shanahan et al. 2016). It also can help with circulatory and heart disease risks (Maas et al. 2009). Walking in nature also increases serum levels of adiponectin (Li and Kawada 2011) and regular walks could potentially protect against obesity, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and coronary heart disease (Song et al. 2017).

Heart rate is a significant indicator of stress response and serves as a flag for high risk of cardiovascular disease. Average heart rates of low-income African American males when walking past landscaped sites went from 103.3 beats per minute (bpm) before greening to 107.2 bpm after greening for a total increase of 3.9 bpm (South et al. 2015). When in view of non-landscaped vacant lots, average heart rate went from 99.6 bpm in the pre-intervention period to 109.1 bpm in the post-intervention period for a total increase of 9.5 bpm. The final estimate between landscaped and non-landscaped vacant lots was lower with a heart rate of \sim 5.6 bpm (South et al. 2015). While the physiological effects of natural (rural and urban) environments on the cardiovascular system of coronary artery disease (CAD) patients are not fully understood, reductions in cortisol levels (a stress hormone) after outdoor walks were greater in city parks than in urban street settings (Grazuleviciene et al. 2016).

Decreased Mortality

The amount of "green" landscaped spaces in neighborhoods also has an impact on all-cause mortality (Coutts et al. 2010, Gascon et al. 2015, James et al. 2016, Mitchell et al. 2011, van den Berg et al. 2015a, Villeneuve et al. 2012). People living in neighborhoods with a higher density of trees on their streets reported significantly higher perceptions of overall health and significantly less cardiometabolic conditions such as diabetes, high cholesterol, heart disease, and stroke. Having 10 or more trees on a city block improved health perception in ways comparable to being 7 years younger or having a higher annual personal income of \$10,000 (Takano et al. 2002).

A natural experiment is an empirical study in which individuals (or clusters of individuals) are exposed to the experimental and control conditions that are determined by nature or by other factors outside the control of the investigators. A natural experiment, which provides stronger evidence of causality, was used to test whether a major change to the natural environment has influenced mortality related to cardiovascular and lower-respiratory diseases (Donovan et al. 2013). Emerald ash borer, an invasive forest pest, has caused the loss of approximately 100 million trees in the United States. Two fixed-effects regression models were used to estimate the relationship between emerald ash borer presence and county-level mortality from 1990 to 2007 in 15 U.S. states, while controlling for a wide range of demographic covariates. There was an increase in mortality related to cardiovascular and lower-respiratory-tract illness in counties infested with the emerald ash borer. The magnitude of this effect was greater as the infestation progressed and in counties with above-average median household income (Donovan et al. 2013). Across the 15 states in the study area, the loss of trees from the ash borer was associated with 6,113 deaths related to lower-respiratory-system illnesses and 15,080 cardiovascular-related deaths. These results suggest that

loss of trees to the emerald ash borer (or any other cause) will increase mortality related to cardiovascular and lower-respiratory-tract illness.

Certain areas of the United States are susceptible to extreme heat events. Research have found that measures to reduce excess urban heat (known as "urban heat islands") can have a positive impact on health during extreme heat events. One study found that a 10% increase in urban surface reflectivity (from vegetation) could reduce the number of deaths during heat events by an average of 6% (Kalkstein et al. 2013). An even larger reduction would be expected in hospital admissions from heat-related illness, although this was not a specific finding in the analysis (Kalkstein et al. 2013).

Another study examined the prospective association between residential greenness and mortality in women. In models adjusted for mortality risk factors (age, race/ ethnicity, smoking, and individual- and area-level socioeconomic status), women living in the highest quintile of cumulative average greenness (accounting for changes in residence during follow-up) in a 250-m area around their home had a 12% lower rate of all-cause non-accidental mortality than those in the lowest quintile (Beyer et al. 2014). These associations were strongest for respiratoryand cancer-related mortality. Policies and/or programs to increase vegetation may provide opportunities for physical activity, reduce harmful exposures, increase social engagement, improve mental health, as well as mitigate the effects of climate change.

Another study examined the association of several health outcomes with "green" housing (with various environmental amenities, including plants) and conventional lowincome housing (where the prevalence of morbidities and environmental pollutants is elevated) by comparing sick building syndrome (SBS) symptoms and asthma-related morbidity among residents in multifamily units (Colton et al. 2015). Adults living in green units reported 1.35 fewer SBS symptoms annually than those living in conventional (control) homes. Furthermore, asthmatic children living in green homes experienced substantially lower incidence of asthma symptoms, asthma attacks, hospital visits, and asthma-related school absences than children living in conventional public housing (Colton et al., 2015). Other studies also validate that respiratory disease and related mortality are less prevalent in greener residential surroundings (Donovan et al. 2013, Maas et al. 2009, Richardson et al. 2010, Villeneuve et al. 2012).

Improved Pain Control

Distraction therapy with sights and sounds from natural landscapes significantly reduces pain in patients undergoing acute, painful, invasive procedures (Diette et al. 2003, Lechtzin et al. 2010). Distraction therapy can be used in addition to standard analgesic medications, especially with procedures that require only local anesthesia. Patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain who participated in horticulture therapy programs experienced better physical and mental health (Verra et al. 2012), relied less on pain medications, and also scored better on coping behavior assessments related to anxiety and pain management (Verra et al. 2012).

Obesity Reduction

Studies have found evidence tying greener residential areas with lower rates of obesity (Dadvand et al. 2014b, James et al. 2015, Lovasi et al. 2011, Michimi and Wimberly 2012, Pereira et al. 2012, Sanders et al. 2015, Wolch et al. 2011). People who live in close proximity to green spaces are three times more likely to engage in physical activity and 40% less likely to be overweight (Watson and Moore 2011). Having clean parks and nearby park access has been associated with healthier weights and greater life satisfaction amongst users. A 2014 study showed greater availability of neighborhood parks (either large or small) and greater park cleanliness to be associated with healthier weights among adults after adjusting for neighborhood features that could influence park use, such as walkability and violent crime (Stark et al. 2014).

In one study, green space was associated with a reduced likelihood of obesity among women. Another study found that street tree density was associated with lower obesity prevalence (Lovasi et al. 2013b). Individuals further from green spaces were less likely to partake in physical activity and had higher odds of obesity than those living closer (Toftager et al. 2011, Lachowycz and Jones 2011).

Residential greenness has also been tied to lower rates of obesity across the lifespan, in rural and urban environments, for multiple measures of greenness (park access, street trees, green cover, etc.) and for multiple measures of weight status [Body Mass Index (BMI), change in weight status, skin fold thickness] (Lovasi et al. 2013b, Pereira et al. 2012). Since obesity entails higher risks of other health problems including cancer, coronary heart disease, type II diabetes, and stroke (NIH 2012), regular exposure to green spaces could also potentially protect against hypertension and coronary heart disease.

Dadvand et al. (2014b) aimed to simultaneously evaluate health benefits and risks associated with different levels of greenness in children. Sedentary behavior (represented by excessive screen time) resulted in obesity, asthma, and allergic rhinoconjunctivitis (Dadvand et al. 2014b). An interquartile increase in residential surrounding greenness was associated with 11–19% lower relative prevalence of being overweight or obese (residential proximity to green spaces was defined as living within 300 m of a forest or a park). Similarly, residential proximity to green spaces was associated with a 39% decrease in excessive screen time and 25% lower incidence of obesity (Dadvand et al. 2014b).

In a study assessing community gardeners, both women and men community gardeners had significantly lower BMIs than did their neighbors who were not in the community gardening program. Significantly lower BMIs for women community gardeners compared with their sisters and men community gardeners compared with their brothers were also observed (Zick et al. 2013). Community gardeners also had lower odds of being overweight or obese than did their otherwise similar non-gardening neighbors.

Decreased Atopy (Allergies)

Growing up and living in areas with high amounts of green spaces can lead to lesser symptoms of atopy (allergies) (Dadvand et al. 2014b, Fuertes et al. 2016, Fuertes et al. 2014, Grazuleviciene et al. 2016, Kuo 2015, Lovasi et al. 2013a, Lovasi et al. 2008, Ruokolainen et al. 2015). Contact with nature, or more specifically, biodiversity, has been proposed to help the immune system learn to tolerate allergens rather than attack non-threats (Rook 2013). However, the findings on this question are extremely mixed, perhaps because vegetation has multiple effects, capturing pollutants and training the immune system on the positive side, but emitting pollen on the negative side. Multiple studies have reported that allergies, asthma, and eczema (which all reflect hypersensitivity of the immune system) are less prevalent among persons with greener residential surroundings (Fuertes et al. 2014, Hanski et al. 2012, Lovasi et al. 2008, Maas et al. 2009, Ruokolainen et al. 2015).

A few studies considered green spaces in relation to developmental outcomes and allergies in children. While beneficial effects may be mediated by physical activity, social engagement, reduced stress, and noise, heat, and air pollution reductions (Dadvand et al. 2014b), distance to the nearest green space from a child's residence was positively associated with odds of hyperactivity and inattention (Markevych et al. 2014).

Physical Activity

There is available evidence to show that there can be direct health benefits by increasing the level of physical activity on individuals of all ages (Barton et al. 2016, Broekhuizen et al. 2013, Cohen-Cline et al. 2015, Elliott 2016, Fan et al. 2011, Feda et al. 2015, Hartig and Kahn 2016, Mitchell 2013, Nielsen and Hansen 2007, Sharma-Brymer et al. 2015, Thompson Coon et al. 2011, Thompson et al. 2016, Wolf and Wohlfart 2014). A number of studies have assessed the association between green space and physical activity typically in cross-sectional analyses where neighborhood "greenness" is derived from landuse files and physical activity is ascertained by survey. In general, this evidence supports a positive association between green space and physical activity in adults (Chaix et al. 2014, Gong et al. 2014, Karusisi et al. 2012, Mytton et al. 2012, Richardson et al. 2013).

Other reviews have shown a relationship between green spaces and several determinants of health, such as physical activity and stress (CDC 2011, Bowler et al. 2010, Croucher et al. 2008, Di Nardo et al. 2012, Health Council of the Netherlands 2004, Lachowycz and Jones 2011, Lee and Maheswaran 2011, Shafer et al. 2000). Of studies that included measures of perceived greenness, one found that both subjective and objective green space were associated with walking and other forms of exercise (Sugiyama et al. 2013).

Natural surroundings such as vegetated streetscapes, parks, and schoolyards are generally associated with higher levels of physical activity in both children and adults, a plausible mechanism for many of the observed health benefits of nature contact (Bancroft et al. 2015, Bingham et al. 2016, Calogiuri and Chroni 2014, Fraser and Lock 2011, Gray et al. 2015, Hunter and Askarinejad 2015, Kaczynski and Henderson 2007, Koohsari et al. 2015, Lee et al. 2015, Shanahan et al. 2016, Stigsdotter et al. 2010, Sugiyama et al. 2014). While the mechanisms by which green surroundings might facilitate physical activity are not well understood, aesthetic preferences for green spaces may play a role (Shanahan et al. 2016). There is also a high association with green space usage and physical activity among dog owners (White et al. 2018).

For children, greenness has been associated with increased playtime outdoors (Grigsby-Toussaint et al. 2011), and in a study by Almanza et al. (2012), with higher odds of extemporaneous physical activity when in greener areas. Similar studies in children found that about half of weekend moderate-to-vigorous physical activity took place in green spaces (Lachowycz and Jones 2011), and periods of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity were significantly more likely to occur in green spaces for boys, but the relationship was positive, but not statistically significant for girls (Wheeler et al. 2010). Children and adolescents with better access to parks are less likely to have higher BMI levels (Wolch et al. 2011) and the level of children's physical activity seems to be influenced by access to parks and vegetation (Ding et al. 2011). Evidence also suggests that play in natural environments is associated with the development of fine motor skills such as balance and coordination, which in turn enable and predict physical activity (Fjørtoft 2001, Fjørtoft, 2004). The dynamic and irregular characteristics of natural play spaces may explain this observation.

Gardening has been shown to encourage people to undertake physical exercise, which in turn would contribute to improving both the physical and psychological health of gardeners (Soga et al. 2017). For older individuals, participants who spent 1 hour or more gardening per week exhibited better balance performance, fewer functional limitations, and fewer chronic conditions. Significantly fewer gardeners than non-gardeners reported a fall in a measured 2-year period (Chen and Janke 2012).

Given the concerns about low rates of physical activity among low-income minority youth, many communitybased organizations are investing in the creation or renovation of public parks in order to encourage youth to become more physically active. Park improvements can have a significant impact on increasing park use and local physical activity of youth (Cohen et al. 2015). In a study assessing 11-to-13-year-old children's activity levels, the proportion of neighborhood land covered by trees and other green spaces was independently associated with the physical activity outcome, and for each additional 5% increase in the proportion of neighborhood land covered by green spaces, there was a corresponding 5% increase in the relative odds of increasing free-time physical activity outside of school hours (Janssen and Rosu 2015).

Positive Cognitive Development

Cognitive development in students (assessed as a 12month change in the developmental trajectory of working memory and in-attentiveness) was found to be influenced by the level of greenness within and surrounding school boundaries. A high total-surrounding greenness index (including greenness surrounding student homes, commuting route, and school) was correlated with higher levels of working memory and attentiveness (Dadvand et al. 2015).

Being outdoors in natural settings also contributes to a sense of vitality or energy available for purposive action by adults (Ryan et al. 2010). Although vitality has been investigated independently of attention restoration, it is likely that vitality and attention restoration are simply different facets of a single process. The descriptions of vitality (Ryan et al. 2010) sound very much like the descriptions of "rejuvenation" and "recovery from mental fatigue" associated with attention restoration (Kuo 2015) that is enhanced by green spaces. Multiple authors have found that attention restoration, state changes in cognitive functioning, and recovery from ego-depletion are influenced by the same underlying green space mechanisms (Hofmann et al. 2012, Kaplan and Kaplan 1989, Kaplan and Berman 2010, Ryan et al. 2010).

Summary

Consumers have historically shown an inclination to purchase products that enhance their quality of life (Hall and Dickson 2011), meaning they will purchase items that positively influence their physical, psychological, cognitive, environmental, social, and spiritual well-being. Plants in natural and improved landscapes (and interiorscapes) have been documented to influence each of these quality of life constructs. This paper focused on providing evidence from the literature regarding the physiological health benefits associated with plants, thereby influencing the physiological, psychological, and cognitive well-being constructs affecting quality of life. This research should be strategically incorporated into both industry-wide and firm-specific marketing messages that highlight the quality of life value proposition in order to maintain the industry's sense of value and relevance to residential landscape consumers of the future. These findings also present evidence that municipal leaders and policymakers can use in justifying green infrastructure-related funding decisions, as well as grounds for the construction industry using biophilic design principles in ensuring the built environment offers opportunities for green space interactions. The green industry can play a pivotal role not only in providing plants of high quality for these applications but educating stakeholders regarding the benefits discussed herein.

Literature Cited

Center for Disease Control (CDC), C.f.D.C.a.P. 2011. School health guidelines to promote healthy eating and physical activity. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr6005.pdf. Accessed May 1, 2019.

National Institute of Health (NIH), N.I.o.H. 2012. What are the health risks of overweight and obesity? National Institute of Health (NIH): Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/ overweight-and-obesity. Accessed May 1, 2019.

Agay-Shay, K., A. Peled, A.V. Crespo, C. Peretz, Y. Amitai, S. Linn, M. Friger, and M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen. 2014. Green spaces and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Occup. Environ. Med. 71 (8):562–569.

Almanza, E., M. Jerrett, G. Dunton, E. Seto, and M.A. Pentz. 2012. A study of community design, greenness, and physical activity in children using satellite, GPS and accelerometer data. Health Place 18 (1):46–54.

Astell-Burt, T., X. Feng, and G.S. Kolt. 2013. Does access to neighborhood green space promote a healthy duration of sleep? Novel findings from 259,319 Australians. BMJ Open 3 (8): e003094.

Astell-Burt, T., X. Feng, and G.S. Kolt. 2014. Greener neighborhoods, slimmer people? Evidence from 246,920 Australians. Int. J. Obes. 38:156–159.

Bancroft, C., S. Joshi, A. Rundle, M. Hutson, C. Chong, C.C. Weiss, and G. Lovasi. 2015. Association of proximity and density of parks and objectively measured physical activity in the United States: A systematic review. Social Sci. Med. 138:22–30.

Barton, J., R. Bragg, C. Wood, J. Pretty, and (Eds.). 2016. Green exercise: Linking nature, health and well-being. Routledge. 228 p.

Beyer, K., A. Kaltenbach, A. Szabo, S. Bogar, F. Nieto, and K. Malecki. 2014. Exposure to neighborhood green space and mental health: evidence from the survey of the health of Wisconsin. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 11 (3):3453–3472.

Bhasin, M.K., J.A. Dusek, B. Chang, M.G.D. Joseph, J. W., and G.L. Fricchione. 2013. Relaxation response induces temporal transcriptome changes in energy metabolism, insulin secretion and inflammatory pathways. PLoS ONE 8 e62817.

Bingham, D.D., S. Costa, T. Hinkley, K.A. Shire, S.A. Clemes, and S.E. Barber. 2016. Physical activity during the early years: a systematic review of correlates and determinants. American J. Prev. Med. 51 (3):384–402.

Bjørnerem, A., B. Straume, M. Midtby, V. Fønnebø, J. Sundsfjord, J. Svartberg, and G.K.R. Berntsen. 2004. Endogenous sex hormones in relation to age, sex, lifestyle factors, and chronic diseases in a general population: the Tromsø Study. J. Clinical Endocrinology Metabolism 89 (12):6039–6047.

Bodicoat, D.H., G. O'Donovan, A.M. Dalton, L.J. Gray, T. Yates, C. Edwardson, and A.P. Jones. 2014. The association between neighbourhood greenspace and type 2 diabetes in a large cross-sectional study. BMJ Open 4 (12): e006076.

Bowler, D.E., L.M. Buyung-Ali, T.M. Knight, and A.S. Pullin. 2010. A systematic review of evidence for the added benefits to health of exposure to natural environments. BioMed Center Public Health 10 (1):456.

Broekhuizen, K., S.I. de Vries, and F.H. Pierik. 2013. Healthy aging in a green living environment: a systematic review of the literature. Leiden: TNO. 29 p.

Brown, D.K., J.L. Barton, and V.F. Gladwell. 2013. Viewing nature scenes positively affects recovery of autonomic function following acutemental stress. Environ. Sci. Tech. 47 (11):5562–5569.

Calogiuri, G. and S. Chroni. 2014. The impact of the natural environment on the promotion of active living: An integrative systematic review. BMC Public Health 14 (1):873.

Cappuccio, F.P., D. Cooper, L. D'elia, P. Strazzullo, and M.A. Miller. 2011. Sleep duration predicts cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. European Heart J. 32 (12):1484–1492.

Cappuccio, F.P., F.M. Taggart, N.-B. Kandala, A. Currie, E. Peile, S. Stranges, and M.A. Miller. 2008. Meta-analysis of short sleep duration and obesity in children and adults. Sleep 31 (5):619–626.

Cesari, M., Penninx, N. B. W., A., K. B., S. B., B.J. Nicklas, K. Sutton-Tyrrell, and M. Pahor. 2003. Inflammatory markers and onset of cardiovascular events: results from the health ABC study. Circulation 108 (19):2317–2322.

Chaix, B., Y. Kestens, S. Duncan, C. Merrien, B. Thierry, B. Pannier, and F. Thomas. 2014. Active transportation and public transportation use to achieve physical activity recommendations? A combined GPS, accelerometer, and mobility survey study. Intl J. Behavioral Nutrition Physical Activity 11(1):124.

Chaput, J.P., J.P. Després, C. Bouchard, and A. Tremblay. 2007. Short sleep duration is associated with reduced leptin levels and increased adiposity: results from the Quebec family study. Obesity 15 (1):253–261.

Chen, T.-Y. and M.C. Janke. 2012. Gardening as a potential activity to reduce falls in older adults. J. Aging Physical Activity 20 (1):15–31.

Cohen, D.A., B. Han, J. Isacoff, B. Shulaker, S. Williamson, T. Marsh, T.L. McKenzie, M. Weir, and R. Bhatia. 2015. Impact of park renovations on park use and park-based physical activity. J. Physical Activity Health 12 (2):289–295.

Cohen-Cline, H., E. Turkheimer, and G.E. Duncan. 2015. Access to green space, physical activity and mental health: a twin study. J. Epidemiology Community Health 69 (6):523–529.

Colton, M.D., J.G.C. Laurent, P. MacNaughton, J. Kane, M. Bennett-Fripp, J. Spengler, and G. Adamkiewicz. 2015. Health benefits of green public housing: Associations with asthma morbidity and building-related symptoms. J. Info. 105 (12):2482–2489.

Coutts, C., M. Horner, and T. Chapin. 2010. Using geographical information system to model the effects of green space accessibility on mortality in Florida. Geocarto Intl. 25 (6): 471–484.

Croucher, K., L. Myers, and J. Bretherton. 2008. The links between greenspace and health: a critical literature review.

Dadvand, P., A. de Nazelle, F. Figueras, X. Basagaña, J. Su, E. Amoly, M. Jerrett, M. Vrijheid, J. Sunyer, and M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen. 2012a. Green space, health inequality and pregnancy. Environ. Intl. 40:110–115.

Dadvand, P., M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen, M. Esnaola, J. Forns, X. Basagaña, M. Alvarez-Pedrerol, I. Rivas, M. López-Vicente, M.D.C. Pascual, and J. Su. 2015. Green spaces and cognitive development in primary schoolchildren. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112 (26):7937–7942.

Dadvand, P., B. Ostro, F. Figueras, M. Foraster, X. Basagaña, A. Valentín, D. Martinez, R. Beelen, M. Cirach, G. Hoek, M. Jerrett, B. Brunekreef, and M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen. 2014a. Residential proximity to major roads and term low birth weight: the roles of air pollution, heat, noise, and road-adjacent trees. Epidemiol. 25 (4):518–525.

Dadvand, P., J. Sunyer, X. Basagana, F. Ballester, A. Lertxundi, A. Fernandez-Somoano, M. Estarlich, R. Garcia-Esteban, M.A. Mendez, and M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen. 2012b. Surrounding greenness and pregnancy outcomes in four Spanish birth cohorts. Environ. Health Perspectives 120 (10):1481.

Dadvand, P., C.M. Villanueva, L. Font-Ribera, D. Martinez, X. Basagaña, J. Belmonte, M. Vrijheid, R. Grazuleviciene, M. Kogevinas, and M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen. 2014b. Risks and benefits of green spaces for children: a cross-sectional study of associations with sedentary behavior, obesity, asthma, and allergy. Environ. Health Perspectives 122 (12):1329.

Dahlkvist, E., T. Hartig, A. Nilsson, H. Högberg, K. Skovdahl, and M. Engström. 2016. Garden greenery and the health of older people in residential care facilities: a multi-level cross-sectional study. J. Advanced Nursing 72 (9):2065–2076.

DelSesto, M. 2017. Christos Gallis (ed.):Green care for human therapy, social innovation, rural economy, and education. Agri. Human Values 34 (1):239–240.

Di Nardo, F., R. Saulle, and G. La Torre. 2012. Green areas and health outcomes: a systematic review of the scientific literature. Italian J. Public Health 7 (4): 402–413.

Diette, G.B., N. Lechtzin, E. Haponik, A. Devrotes, and H.R. Rubin. 2003. Distraction therapy with nature sights and sounds reduces pain during flexible bronchoscopya: A complementary approach to routine analgesia. Chest 123 (3):941–948.

Ding, D., J.F. Sallis, J. Kerr, S. Lee, and D.E. Rosenberg. 2011. Neighborhood environment and physical activity among youth: a review. American J. Preventive Med. 41 (4): 442–455.

Donovan, G., D. Butry, Y. Michael, J. Prestemon, A. Liebhold, and D. Gatsiolis. 2013. The relationship between trees and human health: evidence from the spread of the emerald ash borer American J. Preventive Med. 44 (2):139–145.

Donovan, G.H., Y.L. Michael, D.T. Butry, A.D. Sullivan, and J.M. Chase. 2011. Urban trees and the risk of poor birth outcomes. Health Place 17 (1):390–393.

Dowlati, Y.H., N., W. Swardfager, H. Liu, L. Sham, E.K. Reim, and K.L. Lanctôt. 2010. A meta-analysis of cytokines in major depression. Biological Psychiatry 67 (5):446–457.

Duncan, M.J., N.D. Clarke, S.L. Birch, J. Tallis, J. Hankey, E. Bryant, and E.L. Eyre. 2014. The effect of green exercise on blood pressure, heart rate and mood state in primary school children. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 11 (4):3678–3688.

El-Sheikh, M., R. Kelly, and A. Rauer. 2013. Quick to berate, slow to sleep: Interpartner psychological conflict, mental health, and sleep. Health Psych. 32 (10):1057.

Elliott, L.R. 2016. Physical activity in natural environments: Importance of environmental quality, landscape type and promotional materials. University of Exeter, ORE: Open Research Exeter, PhD in Health and Wellbeing. 452 p.

Fan, Y., K.V. Das, and Q. Chen. 2011. Neighborhood green, social support, physical activity, and stress: Assessing the cumulative impact. Health Place 17 (6):1202–1211.

Fantuzzi, G. 2013. Adiponectin in inflammatory and immune-mediated diseases. Cytokine 64 (1): -10.

Feda, D.M., A. Seelbinder, S.H. Baek, S. Raja, L. Yin, and J.N. Roemmich. 2015. Neighbourhood parks and reduction in stress among adolescents: Results from Buffalo, New York. Indoor Built Environ. 24 (5):631–639.

Fjørtoft, I. 2001. The natural environment as a playground for children: The impact of outdoor play activities in pre-primary school children. Early childhood Ed. J. 29 (2):111–117.

Fjørtoft, I. 2004. Landscape as playscape: The effects of natural environments on children's play and motor development. Children Youth Environ. 14 (2): 1–44.

Fraser, S.D. and K. Lock. 2011. Cycling for transport and public health: a systematic review of the effect of the environment on cycling. European J. Public Health 21 (6): 738–743.

French, A.N., R.S. Ashby, I.G. Morgan, and K.A. Rose. 2013. Time outdoors and the prevention of myopia. Experimental Eye Res. 114:58–68.

Fuertes, E., I. Markevych, G. Bowatte, O. Gruzieva, U. Gehring, A. Becker, and B. Brunekreef. 2016. Residential greenness is differentially associated with childhood allergic rhinitis and aeroallergen sensitization in seven birth cohorts. Allergy 71 (10):1461–1471.

Fuertes, E., I. Markevych, A. von Berg, C.P. Bauer, D. Berdel, S. Koletzko, and J. Heinrich. 2014. Greenness and allergies: evidence of differential associations in two areas in Germany. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 68 (8):787–790.

Gascon, M., M. Triguero-Mas, D. Martínez, P. Dadvand, J. Forns, A. Plasència, and M. Nieuwenhuijsen. 2015. Mental Health Benefits of Long-Term Exposure to Residential Green and Blue Spaces: A Systematic Review. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 12 (4):4354.

Gladwell, V.F., D.K. Brown, J.L. Barton, M.P. Tarvainen, P. Kuoppa, J. Pretty, and G.R.H. Sandercock. 2012. The effects of views of nature on autonomic control. European J. Applied Physiology 112 (9):3379–3386.

Gong, Y., J. Gallacher, S. Palmer, and D. Fone. 2014. Neighbourhood green space, physical function and participation in physical activities among elderly men: the Caerphilly Prospective study. Intl. J. Behavioral Nutrition Physical Activity 11 (1):40.

Gray, C., R. Gibbons, R. Larouche, E. Sandseter, A. Bienenstock, M. Brussoni, and M. Power. 2015. What is the relationship between outdoor time and physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and physical fitness in children? A systematic review. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 12 (6):6455–6474.

Grazuleviciene, R., J. Vencloviene, R. Kubilius, V. Grizas, A. Danileviciute, A. Dedele, S. Andrusaityte, A. Vitkauskiene, R. Steponaviciute, and M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen. 2016. Tracking restoration of park and urban street settings in coronary artery disease patients. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 13 (6):550.

Grigsby-Toussaint, D.S., S.H. Chi, and B.H. Fiese. 2011. Where they live, how they play: Neighborhood greenness and outdoor physical activity among preschoolers. Intl. J. Health Geographics 10 (1):66.

Grigsby-Toussaint, D.S., K.N. Turi, M. Krupa, N.J. Williams, S.R. Pandi-Perumal, and G. Jean-Louis. 2015. Sleep insufficiency and the natural environment: Results from the US behavioral risk factor surveillance system survey. Prev. Med. 78:78–84.

Guggenheim, J.A., K.M. Northstone, G., A.R. Ness, K. Deere, and C.W. Mattocks, C. 2012. Time outdoors and physical activity as predictors of incident myopia in childhood: a prospective cohort study. Investigative Ophthalmology Visual Sci. 53 (6):2856–2865.

Hall, C. and M. Dickson. 2011. Economic, environmental, and health/ well-being benefits associated with green industry products and services: A review. J. Environ. Hort. 29 (June):96–103.

Haluza, D., R. Schönbauer, and R. Cervinka. 2014. Green perspectives for public health: a narrative review on the physiological effects of experiencing outdoor nature. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 11 (5):5445–5461.

Hanski, I., L. von Hertzen, N. Fyhrquist, K. Koskinen, K. Torppa, T. Laatikainen, and E. Vartiainen. 2012. Environmental biodiversity, human microbiota, and allergy are interrelated. Proceedings Ntl. Academy Sci 109 (21):8334–8339.

Hartig, T. and P.H. Kahn. 2016. Living in cities, naturally. Sci. 352 (6288):938-940.

He, M., F. Xiang, Y. Zeng, J. Mai, Q. Chen, J. Zhang, and I.G. Morgan. 2015. Effect of time spent outdoors at school on the development of myopia among children in China: a randomized clinical trial. Jama 314 (11):1142–1148.

Health Council of the Netherlands, R.M.N.O. 2004. The influence of nature on social, psychological and physical well-being. Health Council of the Netherlands and Dutch Advisory Council for Research and Social Planning.

Hislop, J. and S. Arber. 2003. Understanding women's sleep management: beyond medicalization-healthicization? Soc. Health Illness 25 (7):815–837.

Hofmann, W., B.J. Schmeichel, and A.D. Baddeley. 2012. Executive functions and self-regulation. Trends Cognitive Sci. 16 (3):174–180.

Hublin, C., M. Partinen, M. Koskenvuo, and J. Kaprio. 2007. Sleep and mortality: a population-based 22-year follow-up study. Sleep 30 (10):1245–1253.

Hunter, M.R. and A. Askarinejad. 2015. Designer's approach for scene selection in tests of preference and restoration along a continuum of natural to manmade environments. Frontiers Psych. 6:1228.

Hystad, P., H.W. Davies, L. Frank, J. Van Loon, U. Gehring, L. Tamburic, and M. Brauer. 2014. Residential greenness and birth outcomes: evaluating the influence of spatially correlated built-environment factors. Environ. Health Perspectives 122 (10):1095.

Irwin, M.R. and S.W. Cole. 2011. Reciprocal regulation of the neural and innate immune systems. Nature Reviews Immunology 11 (9):625.

James, P., R.F. Banay, J.E. Hart, and F. Laden. 2015. A review of the health benefits of greenness. Current Epidemiol. Reports 2 (2):131–142.

James, P., J.E. Hart, R.F. Banay, and F. Laden. 2016. Exposure to greenness and mortality in a nationwide prospective cohort study of women. Environ. Health Perspect 124 (9): 1344–1352.

Janssen, I. and A. Rosu. 2015. Undeveloped green space and free-time physical activity in 11 to 13-year-old children. Intl. J. Behavioral Nutrition Physical Activity 12 (1):26.

Kaczynski, A.T. and K.A. Henderson. 2007. Environmental correlates of physical activity: a review of evidence about parks and recreation. Leisure Sci. 29 (4):315–354.

Kalkstein, L.S., D. Sailor, K. Shickman, S. Sheridan, and J. Vanos. 2013. Assessing the health impacts of urban heat island reduction strategies in the District of Columbia.

Kang, D.H., M.T. Weaver, N.J. Park, B. Smith, T. McArdle, K. Landers, and J. Carpenter. 2011. Psychological and immune outcomes to an integrated stress management and exercise intervention.

Kaplan, R. and S. Kaplan. 1989. The experience of nature : a psychological perspective. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge ; New York. 5 p.

Kaplan, S. and M. Berman. 2010. Directed Attention as a Common Resource for Executive Functioning and Self-Regulation. 5 (1):43–57.

Karusisi, N., K. Bean, J.M. Oppert, B. Pannier, and B. Chaix. 2012. Multiple dimensions of residential environments, neighborhood experiences, and jogging behavior in the RECORD Study. Prev. Med. 55 (1):50–55.

Kenney, M.J. and C.K. Ganta. 2011. Autonomic nervous system and immune system interactions. Comprehensive Physiol. 4 (3):1177–1200.

Kondrashova, A., T. Seiskari, J. Ilonen, M. Knip, and H. Hyöty. 2013. The 'Hygiene hypothesis' and the sharp gradient in the incidence of autoimmune and allergic diseases between Russian Karelia and Finland. Apmis 121 (6):478–493.

Koohsari, M.J., S. Mavoa, K. Villanueva, T. Sugiyama, H. Badland, A.T. Kaczynski, and B. Giles-Corti. 2015. Public open space, physical activity, urban design and public health: Concepts, methods and research agenda. Health Place 33:75–82.

Kuo, M. 2015. How might contact with nature promote human health? Promising mechanisms and a possible central pathway. Frontiers in psychology 6.

Kuo, S.M. 2013. The interplay between fiber and the intestinal microbiome in the inflammatory response. Advances in Nutrition 4 (1):16–28.

Lachowycz, K. and A.P. Jones. 2011. Greenspace and obesity: a systematic review of the evidence. Obes Rev 12.

Lechtzin, N., A.M. Busse, M.T. Smith, S. Grossman, S. Nesbit, and G.B. Diette. 2010. A randomized trial of nature scenery and sounds versus urban scenery and sounds to reduce pain in adults undergoing bone marrow aspirate and biopsy. J. Alt. Complementary Med. 16 (9):965–972.

Lee, A.C. and R. Maheswaran. 2011. The health benefits of urban green spaces: a review of the evidence. J. Public Health 33 (2):212–222.

Lee, A.C.K., H.C. Jordan, and J. Horsley. 2015. Value of urban green spaces in promoting healthy living and wellbeing: prospects for planning. Risk Mgmt. Healthcare Policy 8 131.

Lee, Y.K. and S.K. Mazmanian. 2010. Has the microbiota played a critical role in the evolution of the adaptive immune system? Sci. 330 (6012):1768–1773.

Li, Q. 2010. Effect of forest bathing trips on human immune function. Environ. Health Prev. Med. 15 (1):9.

Li, Q. and T. Kawada. 2011. Effect of forest environments on human natural killer (NK) activity. Intl. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol. 24 (1 Suppl): 39S - 44S.

Li, Q., K. Morimoto, M. Kobayashi, H. Inagaki, M. Katsumata, Y. Hirata, K. Hirata, H. Suzuki, Y.J. Li, T. Ohira, N. Matsui, T. Kagawa, Y. Miyazaki, and A.M. Krensky. 2008a. Visiting forest, but not a city, increases human natural killer activity and expression of anti-cancer proteins. Intl. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol. 21 (1):117–127.

Li, Q., K. Morimoto, M.I. Kobayashi, H., M. Katsumata, Y. Hirata, and T. Kawada. 2008b. A forest bathing trip increases human natural killer activity and expression of anti-cancer proteins in female subjects. J. Biol. Regul. Homeost. Agents 22 (1):45–55.

Li, W.F., Z.Y. Ouyang, X.S. Meng, and X.K. Wang. 2006. Plant species composition in relation to green cover configuration and function of urban parks in Beijing, China. Ecological Research 21 (2):221–237.

Lovasi, G.S., J.S. Jacobson, J.W. Quinn, K.M. Neckerman, M.N. Ashby-Thompson, and A. Rundle. 2011. Is the environment near home and school associated with physical activity and adiposity of urban preschool children?. J. Urban Health 88 (6):1143–1157.

Lovasi, G.S., J.P. O'Neil-Dunne, J.W. Lu, D. Sheehan, M.S. Perzanowski, S.W. MacFaden, and F.P. Perera. 2013a. Urban tree canopy and asthma, wheeze, rhinitis, and allergic sensitization to tree pollen in a New York City birth cohort. Environ. Health Perspectives 121 (4):494.

Lovasi, G.S., J.W. Quinn, K.M. Neckerman, M.S. Perzanowski, and A. Rundle. 2008. Children living in areas with more street trees have lower asthma prevalence. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 62 (7):647–649.

Lovasi, G.S., O. Schwartz-Soicher, J.W. Quinn, D.K. Berger, K.M. Neckerman, R. Jaslow, and A. Rundle. 2013b. Neighborhood safety and green space as predictors of obesity among preschool children from low-income families in New York City. Prev. Med. 57 (3):189–193.

Maas, J., R.A. Verheij, S. de Vries, P. Spreeuwenberg, F.G. Schellevis, and P.P. Groenewegen. 2009. Morbidity is related to a green living environment. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 63 (12):967–973.

Mao, G.X., Y.B. Cao, X.G. Lan, Z.H. He, Z.M. Chen, Y.Z. Wang, and J. Yan. 2012. Therapeutic effect of forest bathing on human hypertension in the elderly. J. Cardiology 60 (6): 495–502.

Markevych, I., C.M.T. Tiesler, E. Fuertes, M. Romanos, P. Dadvand, M.J. Nieuwenhuijsen, D. Berdel, S. Koletzko, and J. Heinrich. 2014. Access to urban green spaces and behavioural problems in children: Results from the GINIplus and LISAplus studies. Environ. Intl. 71:29–35.

Mehaffy, M.W. and N.A. Salingaros. 2015. Design for a living planet: settlement, science, and the human future. Sustasis Press, Portland, Oregon.

Michimi, A. and M.C. Wimberly. 2012. Natural environments, obesity, and physical activity in nonmetropolitan areas of the United States. J. Rural Health 28 (4):398–407.

Mitchell, R. 2013. Is physical activity in natural environments better for mental health than physical activity in other environments? Social Science & Medicine 91:130–134.

Mitchell, R., T. Astell-Burt, and E.A. Richardson. 2011. A comparison of green space measures for epidemiological research. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 65 (10):853–858.

Mitchell, R. and F. Popham. 2008. Effect of exposure to natural environment on health inequalities: an observational population study. The Lancet 372 (9650):1655–1660.

Morita, E., M. Imai, M. Okawa, T. Miyaura, and S. Miyazaki. 2011. A before and after comparison of the effects of forest walking on the sleep of a community-based sample of people with sleep complaints. BioPsycho-Social medicine 5 (1):13.

Mytton, O.T., N. Townsend, H. Rutter, and C. Foster. 2012. Green space and physical activity: an observational study using health survey for England data. Health Place 18 (5):1034–1041.

Nicolaou, N., N. Siddique, and A. Custovic. 2005. Allergic disease in urban and rural populations: increasing prevalence with increasing urbanization. Allergy 60 (11):1357–1360.

Nicole, W. 2014. Beyond spatial relationships: residential greenness and birth outcomes. Environmental health perspectives 122 (10):A281.

Nielsen, T.S. and K.B. Hansen. 2007. Do green areas affect health? Results from a Danish survey on the use of green areas and health indicators. Health Place 13 (4):839–850.

Nusslock, R. and G.E. Miller. 2016. Early-life adversity and physical and emotional health across the lifespan: a neuroimmune network hypothesis. Bio. Psych. 80 (1):23–32.

Ohtsuka, Y., Yabunaka, N., and Takayama, S. 1998. Shinrin-yoku (forest-air bathing and walking) effectively decreases blood glucose levels in diabetic patients. Intl. J. Biometeorology 41 (3):125–127.

Orange, J.S. and Z.K. Ballas. 2006. Natural killer cells in human health and disease. Clinical Immunology 118 (1):1–10.

Park, B.J., Y. Tsunetsugu, T. Kasetani, T. Kagawa, and Y. Miyazaki. 2010. The physiological effects of Shinrin-yoku (taking in the forest atmosphere or forest bathing): evidence from field experiments in 24 forests across Japan. Environ. Health Prev. Med. 15 (1): 18.

Park, S.A., S.R. Oh, K.S. Lee, and K.C. Son. 2013. Electromyographic analysis of upper limb and hand muscles during horticultural activity motions. HortTech. 23 (1):51–56.

Pereira, G., S. Foster, K. Martin, H. Christian, B.J. Boruff, M. Knuiman, and B. Giles-Corti. 2012. The association between neighborhood greenness and cardiovascular disease: an observational study. BMC Public Health 12 (1):466.

Raanaas, R.K., G.G. Patil, and T. Hartig. 2012. Health benefits of a view of nature through the window: a quasi-experimental study of patients in a residential rehabilitation center. Clin. Rehabil. 26 (1):21–32.

Ray, H. and S.L. Jakubec. 2014. Nature-based experiences and health of cancer survivors. Complementary Therapies Clinical Practice 20 (4):188–192.

Richardson, E., J. Pearce, R. Mitchell, P. Day, and S. Kingham. 2010. The association between green space and cause-specific mortality in urban New Zealand: an ecological analysis of green space utility. BMC Public Health 10 (1):240. Richardson, E., J. Pearce, R. Mitchell, and S. Kingham. 2013. Role of physical activity in the relationship between urban green space and health. Public Health 127 (4):318–324.

Rook, G.A. 2013. Regulation of the immune system by biodiversity from the natural environment: an ecosystem service essential to health. Proceedings National Academy Sci. 110 (46):18360–18367.

Ruokolainen, L., L. Von Hertzen, N. Fyhrquist, T. Laatikainen, J. Lehtomäki, P. Auvinen, and M. Knip. 2015. Green areas around homes reduce atopic sensitization in children. Allergy 70 (2):195–202.

Ryan, R.M., N. Weinstein, J. Bernstein, K.W. Brown, L. Mistretta, and M. Gagne. 2010. Vitalizing effects of being outdoors and in nature. J. Environ. Psych. 30 (2):159–168.

Sanders, T., X. Feng, P.P. Fahey, C. Lonsdale, and T. Astell-Burt. 2015. Greener neighbourhoods, slimmer children? Evidence from 4423 participants aged 6 to 13 years in the longitudinal study of Australian children. Intl. J. Obesity 39 (8):1224.

Shafer, C.S., B.K. Lee, and S. Turner. 2000. A tale of three greenway trails: user perceptions related to quality of life. Land. Urban Planning 49 (3-4):163–178.

Shanahan, D.F., L. Franco, B.B. Lin, K.J. Gaston, and R.A. Fuller. 2016. The benefits of natural environments for physical activity. Sports Med. 46 (7):989–995.

Sharma-Brymer, V., E. Brymer, and K. Davids. 2015. The relationship between physical activity in green space and human health and wellbeing: an ecological dynamics perspective. J. Physical Ed. Res. 2 (1):7–22.

Soga, M., K.J. Gaston, and Y. Yamaura. 2017. Gardening is beneficial for health: A meta-analysis. Prev. Med. Reports 5:92–99.

Song, C., H. Ikei, and Y. Miyazaki. 2016. Physiological effects of nature therapy: A review of the research in Japan. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 13 (8):781.

Song, C., H. Ikei, and Y. Miyazaki. 2017. Physiological effects of visual stimulation with forest imagery. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 15 (2):213.

South, E.C., M.C. Kondo, R.A. Cheney, and C.C. Branas. 2015. Neighborhood blight, stress, and health: a walking trial of urban greening and ambulatory heart rate. American J. Public Health 105 (5):909–913.

Stark, J.H., K. Neckerman, G.S. Lovasi, J. Quinn, C.C. Weiss, M.D. Bader, and A. Rundle. 2014. The impact of neighborhood park access and quality on body mass index among adults in New York City. Prev. Med. 64:63–68.

Stellar, J.E., N. John-Henderson, C.L. Anderson, A.M. Gordon, G.D. McNeil, and D. Keltner. 2015. Positive affect and markers of inflammation: Discrete positive emotions predict lower levels of inflammatory cytokines. Emotion 15 (2):129.

Stiemsma, L.T., L.A. Reynolds, S.E. Turvey, and B.B. Finlay. 2015. The hygiene hypothesis: current perspectives and future therapies. ImmunoTargets Therapy 4:143.

Stigsdotter, U.K., O. Ekholm, J. Schipperijn, M. Toftager, F. Kamper-Jørgensen, and T.B. Randrup. 2010. Health promoting outdoor environments-Associations between green space, and health, health-related quality of life and stress based on a Danish national representative survey. Scandinavian J. Soc. Med. 38 (4):411–417.

Sugiyama, T., E. Cerin, N. Owen, A.L.C. Oyeyemi, T. L., D. Van Dyck, and J. Mitáš. 2014. Perceived neighbourhood environmental attributes associated with adults' recreational walking: IPEN Adult study in 12 countries. Health Place 28:22–30.

Sugiyama, T., B. Giles-Corti, J. Summers, L. du Toit, E. Leslie, and N. Owen. 2013. Initiating and maintaining recreational walking: a longitudinal study on the influence of neighborhood green space. Prev. Med. 57 (3):178–182.

Takano, T., K. Nakamura, and M. Watanabe. 2002. Urban residential environments and senior citizens' longevity in megacity areas: the importance of walkable green spaces. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 56 (12):913–918.

Tamosiunas, A., R. Grazuleviciene, D. Luksiene, A. Dedele, R. Reklaitiene, M. Baceviciene, J. Vencloviene, G. Bernotiene, R. Radisauskas, and V. Malinauskiene. 2014. Accessibility and use of urban green spaces, and cardiovascular health: findings from a Kaunas cohort study. Environ. Health 13 (1):20.

Thiering, E., I. Markevych, I. Brüske, Fuertes, K. E., J., D. Sugiri, and D. Berdel. 2016. Associations of residential long-term air pollution exposures and satellite-derived greenness with insulin resistance in German adolescents. Environ. Health Perspectives 124 (8):1291.

Thompson Coon, J., K. Boddy, K. Stein, R. Whear, J. Barton, and M.H. Depledge. 2011. Does participating in physical activity in outdoor natural environments have a greater effect on physical and mental wellbeing than physical activity indoors? A systematic review. Environ Sci Technol 45.

Thompson, C.W., P. Aspinall, J. Roe, L. Robertson, and D. Miller. 2016. Mitigating stress and supporting health in deprived urban communities: the importance of green space and the social environment. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 13 (4):440.

Toftager, M., O. Ekholm, J. Schipperijn, U. Stigsdotter, P. Bentsen, M. Grønbæk, and F. Kamper-Jørgensen. 2011. Distance to green space and physical activity: a Danish national representative survey. J. Physical Activity Health 8 (6):741–749.

van den Berg, M., W. Wendel-Vos, M. van Poppel, H. Kemper, W. van Mechelen, and J. Maas. 2015a. Health benefits of green spaces in the living environment: A systematic review of epidemiological studies. Urban Forestry Urban Greening 14 (4):806–816.

van den Berg, M.M.H.E., J. Maas, R. Muller, A. Braun, W. Kaandorp, R. van Lien, M.N.M. van Poppel, W. van Mechelen, and A.E. van den Berg. 2015b. Autonomic nervous system responses to viewing green and built settings: differentiating between sympathetic and parasympathetic activity. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 12 (12):15860–15874.

Verra, M.L., F. Angst, T. Beck, S. Lehmann, R. Brioschi, R. Schneiter, and A. Aeschlimann. 2012. Horticultural therapy for patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain: results of a pilot study. Alt. Therapies Health Med. 18 (2):44.

Villeneuve, P.J., M. Jerrett, Su, J. G., R.T. Burnett, H. Chen, A.J. Wheeler, and M.S. Goldberg. 2012. A cohort study relating urban green space with mortality in Ontario, Canada. Environ. Res. 115:51–58.

Von Hertzen, L., I. Hanski, and T. Haahtela. 2011. Natural immunity: biodiversity loss and inflammatory diseases are two global megatrends that might be related. EMBO Reports 12 (11):1089–1093.

Watson, D.L.B. and H.J. Moore. 2011. Community gardening and obesity. Perspectives Public Health 131 (4):163.

Wellen, K.E. and G.S. Hotamisligil. 2005. Inflammation, stress, and diabetes. J. Clinical Investigation 115 (5):1111–1119.

Wheeler, B.W., A.R. Cooper, A.S. Page, and R. Jago. 2010. Greenspace and children's physical activity: a GPS/GIS analysis of the PEACH project. Prev. Med. 51 (2):148–152.

White, M.P., L.R. Elliott, B.W. Wheeler, and L.E. Fleming. 2018. Neighbourhood greenspace is related to physical activity in England, but only for dog owners. Land. Urban Plan. 174:18–23.

Wilker, E.H., C.D. Wu, E. McNeely, E. Mostofsky, J. Spengler, G.A. Wellenius, and M.A. Mittleman. 2014. Green space and mortality following ischemic stroke Environ. Res. 133: 42–48.

Wolch, J., M. Jerrett, K. Reynolds, R. McConnell, R. Chang, N. Dahmann, and K. Berhane. 2011. Childhood obesity and proximity to urban parks and recreational resources: a longitudinal cohort study. Health Place 17 (1):207–214.

Wolf, I.D. and T. Wohlfart. 2014. Walking, hiking and running in parks: A multidisciplinary assessment of health and well-being benefits. Land Urban Plan 130 (0):89–103.

Zick, C.D., K.R. Smith, L. Kowaleski-Jones, C. Uno, and B.J. Merrill. 2013. Harvesting more than vegetables: the potential weight control benefits of community gardening. American J. Public Health 103 (6):1110–1115.